

SEIFERT MANIFOLDS

KYUNG BAI LEE AND FRANK RAYMOND

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Definitions, Motivation and Examples	3
2.1. Rough Definition of Seifert Fiber Spaces	3
2.2. Torus Actions	4
2.3. Examples of Injective Actions	5
2.4. Injective Seifert fibering	6
2.5. $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$	7
2.6. Example: 3-dimensional Seifert manifolds with base the 2-torus	9
2.7. Injective Seifert Constructions	10
2.8. The singular fibers	11
2.9. Infra-homogeneous Spaces	12
3. Group Cohomology	13
3.1. Introduction	13
3.2. Group Extensions	14
3.3. Pullback and Pushout	15
3.4. Extensions with abelian kernel A and $H^2(Q; A)$	16
3.5. Extensions with non-abelian kernel G and $H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$	17
3.6. $H^1(Q; C)$ with a non-abelian C	18
3.7. Mapping one group extension into another	18
4. Seifert Fiber Space Construction with $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$	22
4.1. Introduction	22
4.2. Mapping an extension of G into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$	22
4.3. Construction with $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$	25
4.4. The Meaning of Existence, Uniqueness and Rigidity	26
4.5. Proofs of Theorem 4.3.2	29
4.6. Smooth Case	31
5. Applications	31
5.1. Introduction	31
5.2. Existence of Closed Smooth $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifolds	32
5.3. When is θ injective?	34
5.4. Rigidity of infra-homogeneous spaces	35
5.5. Lifting Problem for Homotopy Classes of Self-Homotopy Equivalences	37
5.6. Seifert fiberings with codimension 2 fibers	40
5.7. The classical Seifert 3-dimensional manifolds	42
6. Reduction of the Universal Group	42

Date: January 3, 1999; to appear in *Handbook of Geometrical Topology*.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57S30; Secondary 53C30.

Key words and phrases. injective torus action, Seifert fiber space.

6.1. Purpose and Requirements	42
6.2. Injective Holomorphic Seifert Fiberings	43
6.3. $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -geometry	45
6.4. Lorentz Structures and $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Geometry	49
6.5. Deformation Spaces for $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -geometry	51
6.6. Polynomial structure on virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ manifolds	56
References	58

1. INTRODUCTION

Seifert fibered spaces have played an interesting and important role in topology and transformation groups. The classical definition of Seifert [59] in 1933, concerning circle bundles with specified singularities over 2-dimensional manifolds, preceded much of the notions and development of bundle theory. In fact, Seifert's splendid paper had a profound influence on both bundle theory and low dimensional topology. Recognition of the importance of Seifert fiber spaces to transformation groups was communicated a long time ago to one of the authors by Deane Montgomery.

Many of the interesting spaces in topology and geometry admit the structure of a bundle with singularities. The geometric structure is usually displayed as part of this bundle structure. While Seifert fiberings, in their utmost generality, could be said to encompass all of these phenomena, we have concentrated here, in our exposition, on a particular type called *injective Seifert fiberings*. These seem to entail the simplest structure in that they admit a global uniformization, have close connections with transformation groups, and have many applications. Moreover, because topologists have become intrigued with the "geometrization" of manifolds, we hope that this paper will also inform the reader of the significant role that Seifert fiber spaces and Seifert constructions can play in these matters.

We have tried to make our exposition as concrete and accessible as possible. This is especially true in the beginning and in the last two sections where examples and illustrations of the theory are worked out in detail. Each section has its own introduction and it will be helpful to read section 2, 5 and 6 first. To digest sections 3 and 4, it is advisable to read these through first for an overview before checking the details.

Notation. We shall use the following notation throughout the rest of the paper. For a topological group G with K a closed subgroup and X a nice topological space, we put

$\text{Aut}(G)$ = Continuous automorphisms of G
 $\text{Inn}(G)$ = Inner automorphisms of G
 $\text{Out}(G) = \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$
 $N_G(K)$ = Normalizer of K in G
 $C_G(K)$ = Centralizer of K in G
 $\text{Aut}(G, K) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G) : \alpha|_K \in \text{Aut}(K)\}$
 $\text{Inn}(G, K) = \text{Inn}(G) \cap \text{Aut}(G, K)$
 $\text{Out}(G, K) = \text{Aut}(G, K)/\text{Inn}(G, K)$
 $\mu(a)$ = Conjugation by a ; so, $\mu(a)(x) = axa^{-1}$ for $x \in G$.
 $\text{TOP}(X)$ = The group of self-homeomorphisms of X
 $\text{Diff}(X)$ = The group of self-diffeomorphisms of a smooth manifold X

2. DEFINITIONS, MOTIVATION AND EXAMPLES

2.1. Rough Definition of Seifert Fiber Spaces. In section 2, we explain what we mean by Seifert fiber spaces, give motivations, precise definitions and some examples.

The Seifert fiber space construction was first defined and studied in [11] and [12] and later reformulated in [33], [39] and [41]. It is really a generalization of the classical Seifert spaces and homogeneous spaces of a Lie group by its uniform lattices. We shall start with a rough definition, continue with some motivation, and finally, give an exact definition.

We then examine what one must do to effectively construct all possible injective Seifert fiberings over a given base with a given typical fiber. As a result of this investigation, we determine the precise nature of the singular fibers.

A Seifert fibering $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ is a “fibering” with singularities. For each $b \in B$, there is associated a finite subgroup Q_b of $\text{TOP}(F)$, the group of self-homeomorphisms of F , so that $p^{-1}(b) = F/Q_b$. If Q_b is trivial, $p^{-1}(b) = F$ is called a typical fiber. Otherwise F/Q_b is called a singular fiber. For example, if $F = T^k$ is a k -torus, and Q_b acts freely on F , then F/Q_b will be a flat manifold which is finitely covered by the torus. We shall require the groups Q_b to be controlled in a particular way as a replacement of the local triviality condition. Before giving a formal definition, we start with some examples.

Example 2.1.1. As a simple example, consider the Möbius band

$$E = I \times [-1, 1]/(0, t) \sim (1, -t).$$

It has an obvious circle action so that its orbit space $B = S^1 \setminus E$ is an interval. Thus, we get $S^1 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$. The orbits are circles parallel to the boundary circle. The center circle orbit however is doubly covered by each of the other orbits. B is an arc $[0, 1]$. Here $p^{-1}(t) = S^1$, a free orbit for every $t \neq 0$, while $p^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus S^1$, the center circle, is the only singular fiber.

Example 2.1.2. Let $\alpha : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ be the map $\alpha(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$ on a 2-torus. Then α has period 2, and has 4 fixed points. In fact, $B = T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a sphere with 4 branch points. Take the product $T^2 \times I$, a 2-torus and the unit interval. Identify the two ends by

$$(w, 0) \leftrightarrow (\alpha(w), 1)$$

to form the mapping torus M of α . Denote an element of M by $[w, t]$, and define an S^1 -action on M by

$$e^{2\pi i\theta}([w, t]) = [w, t + 2\theta],$$

where the second coordinate is taken modulo 1 and compatible with the identifications. The space $E = (T^2 \times I)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is homeomorphic to an orientable 3-dimensional flat Riemannian manifold with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The circle S^1 acts as isometries on E . If p denotes the orbit mapping, then the orbit space is $B = T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. The free orbits, away from the 4 branch points, are typical fibers while the doubly covered orbits over the branch points are singular fibers. These singular fibers are still circles, but are half of the length of the typical fibers.

2.2. Torus Actions. To facilitate our understanding of Seifert fiber spaces we shall examine first the situation arising from group actions. We shall assume familiarity with elementary properties of group actions. Good references as we shall use these concepts are the book by Bredon [6], the paper [11], and the chapter on *Topological Transformation Groups* of this book by Adem and Davis. We recall first several definitions and facts.

Our spaces X are path-connected, completely regular and Hausdorff so that the various slice theorems are valid. For covering space theory, we need and tacitly assume that our spaces are locally path-connected and semi 1-connected.

A topological group G acts *properly* on X if the closure of $\{g \in G \mid gC \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$ is a compact subset of G , for all compact subsets C of X . See [48] for properties of proper actions. In particular, a proper action of a (not necessarily connected) locally compact Lie group on a completely regular space admits a slice, and the orbit space is Hausdorff.

If Q is a discrete group acting properly on X , we shall say that Q acts *properly discontinuously* on X . Note the isotropy subgroups are finite for a properly discontinuous action.

Let G be a path-connected group acting on a path-connected Hausdorff space X . Fix $x \in X$. The evaluation map $\text{ev}^x : (G, e) \rightarrow (X, x)$ is defined by

$$\text{ev}^x(g) = g \cdot x.$$

This induces the evaluation homomorphism

$$\text{ev}_*^x : \pi_1(G, e) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x).$$

Put $H = \text{image of } \text{ev}_*^x \subset \pi_1(X, x)$. Then H is a central subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x)$ which is independent of choices of x [11, Lemma 4.2].

Let K be a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x)$ and

X_K the covering space of X associated with the subgroup K

so that $\pi_1(X_K, x') = K$. Assume that $H \subset K$ and put

$$Q = \pi_1(X, x)/\pi_1(X_K, x') = \pi_1(X, x)/K.$$

Then we have the

Proposition 2.2.1 ([11, §4] and [6, Chapter I, §9]). (1) *The G -action on X lifts to an action of X_K which commutes with the covering Q -action on X_K . The lifted action of G on X_K is proper if the action of G on X is proper.*
 (2) *The induced Q action on the quotient space $W = G \backslash X_K$ is properly discontinuous (i.e. behaves at least like branched coverings with Hausdorff quotient) provided G acts properly on X .*

Definition 2.2.2. A torus action (T^k, X) is said to be *injective* if $\text{ev}_*^x : \pi_1(T^k, e) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$ is injective.

Suppose T^k acts injectively on a path connected, locally path connected, semi 1-connected paracompact Hausdorff space X . Then, by the above proposition, the T^k action lifts to an action on $X_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^k$ is the image of $\pi_1(T^k) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$. We show that the lifted action $(T^k, X_{\mathbb{Z}^k})$ is free and splits.

Proposition 2.2.3 ([11, §3.1]). *If T^k acts injectively on X , then $X_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$ splits into $T^k \times W$ so that $(T^k, X_{\mathbb{Z}^k}) = (T^k, T^k \times W)$, where the T^k action on $T^k \times W$ is via translation on the first factor and trivial on the simply connected W factor.*

Proof. Let $G = T^k$ and S^1 be a subgroup of G isomorphic to the circle. (Note that S^1 is a direct factor). Lift the S^1 action to $X' = X_{\mathbb{Z}^k}$. Let $y' \in X'$ and suppose $S_{y'}^1 \neq 1$ is the stabilizer of the lifted S^1 action at y' . Choose paths $\tilde{\gamma}$ in X' from the base point x' over x to y' and $\alpha : (I, 0, 1) \rightarrow (S^1, 1, z)$ where z is the “first” element $\neq 1$ of S^1 for which $z \cdot y' = y'$. Then $\alpha(t)y'$ defines a loop at y' ; and $\gamma * \alpha * \tilde{\gamma}$ is the associated loop based at x' . Now $(\gamma * \alpha * \tilde{\gamma})^n \sim \gamma * \alpha^n * \tilde{\gamma}$ represents a generator of $\mathbb{Z}^k = H$. Hence n is the order of z in S^1 . This implies that $\gamma * \alpha * \tilde{\gamma}$ represents an n th root of a generator of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ which is impossible unless $n = 1$. Thus $S_{y'}^1 = 1$, for all $y' \in X'$ and all circle subgroups of S^1 of T^k . Hence the lifted toral action (T^k, X') must be a free action and $X \rightarrow W = T^k \backslash X'$ is a principal T^k bundle.

We now show that this bundle is trivial. Let $f : W \rightarrow B_{T^k}$ be the classifying map from W into the classifying space for principal T^k -bundles. The bundle $X' \rightarrow W$ is represented by the homotopy class $[f] \in [W, B_{T^k}]$. Since B_{T^k} is a $K(\mathbb{Z}^k, 2)$ space, $[f]$ is represented by the image $f^*(u)$, where $u \in H^2(B_{T^k}; \mathbb{Z}^k)$ represents $\text{id} : B_{T^k} \rightarrow B_{T^k}$.

The cohomology sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(W; \mathbb{Z}^k) \longrightarrow H^1(X'; \mathbb{Z}^k) \longrightarrow H^1(T^k; \mathbb{Z}^k) \longrightarrow H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}^k) \longrightarrow H^2(X'; \mathbb{Z}^k),$$

which arises from terms of low degree of the spectral sequence of the fibering $X' \rightarrow W$, is exact (e.g., [42, p. 332 Ex 2], or [10, p. 329 C]).

We observe that $H^1(X'; \mathbb{Z}^k) \longrightarrow H^1(T^k; \mathbb{Z}^k)$ is a surjective isomorphism because $\pi_1(T^k, 1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X', x')$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, $f^*(u)$ injects into $H^2(X'; \mathbb{Z}^k)$. On the other hand, $\pi^*(f^*(u)) = \tilde{f}^*(\pi'^*(u))$, where $\pi' : E_{T^k} \rightarrow B_{T^k}$, the universal T^k -bundle, and $\tilde{f}$ is the bundle map $X' \rightarrow E_{T^k}$ induced by f . But $\pi'^*(u) \in H^2(E_{T^k}; \mathbb{Z}^k) = 0$. Therefore, $\pi^*(f^*(u)) = 0$, which implies $f^*(u) = 0$. Hence, $X' \rightarrow W$ is a trivial fibering and $X' = T^k \times W$.

Finally, we remark that the group $T^k \times Q$ is acting properly on $T^k \times W$, and W is simply connected. The T^k action does not lift to the universal covering $\tilde{X}$ of X but the induced ineffective $\mathbb{R}^k$ action on $T^k \times W$ lifts to an effective $\mathbb{R}^k$ action on $\mathbb{R}^k \times W$ and commutes with the group $\pi_1(X, x)$ of covering transformations on $\mathbb{R}^k \times W$. $\square$

2.3. Examples of Injective Actions. A manifold M^n is called *hyper-aspherical* [14] if there is a degree 1-map from M to a closed aspherical manifold of dimension n .

Proposition 2.3.1. *Any effective torus action on the following closed connected manifolds is injective:*

- (1) All aspherical manifolds [11],
- (2) All hyper-aspherical manifolds [14],
- (3) More generally, all manifolds M^n with $\xi^* : H^n(K(\pi_1(M), 1); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(M; \mathbb{Q})$ surjective, where ξ^* is induced by the classifying map $\xi : M \rightarrow K(\pi_1(M), 1)$, with $\pi_1(M)$ torsion free. (The torsion freeness assumption of $\pi_1(M)$ can be dropped if we assume the action is smooth, cf [7] and [37].) See [58], [7], [14], [27], [61], [19] and [37] for such generalizations.
- (4) Homologically Kähler manifolds all of whose isotropy subgroups are finite (e.g., holomorphic actions) [5, p. 170], [12, p. 186].

If every circle action on a closed manifold M is injective, the only compact connected Lie groups that can act on M are tori. See [11] for a proof of this fact. Therefore tori are the only compact connected Lie groups that act effectively on those manifolds listed in (1), (2) and (3). Moreover, in each of these cases, $\pi_1(T^k)$ must inject into the center of $\pi_1(M)$ if T^k acts effectively. Consequently, the only compact Lie groups that can act effectively on these M for which the center of $\pi_1(M)$ is finite are finite groups.

2.4. Injective Seifert fibering. Perhaps the most important feature of an injective torus action (T^k, X) is the splitting $(T^k, T^k \times W)$ in Proposition 2.2.3. The universal covering group $\mathbb{R}^k$ acts ineffectively via $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow T^k$ on $T^k \times W$ and lifts to the action of $\mathbb{R}^k$ as left translations on $\mathbb{R}^k \times W$, the universal covering space of $T^k \times W$ and of X . This $\mathbb{R}^k$ action contains the $\mathbb{Z}^k$ covering transformations over $T^k \times W$ and commutes with the group $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ of covering transformations on X . Together $\mathbb{R}^k$ and π generate a subgroup $\mathbb{R}^k \cdot \pi$ of $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^k \times W)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k \times_{\mathbb{Z}^k} \pi$, since $\mathbb{Z}^k = \mathbb{R}^k \cap \pi$.

We have been describing these features of injective actions to motivate our definition of injective Seifert fiberings. It is apparent that we could start with $\mathbb{R}^k \times W$ and using the reverse procedure, reconstruct the injective toral actions on X . We will now describe this reverse procedure. We will also put it in a more general context where $\mathbb{R}^k$ is replaced by any Lie group G and W is any completely regular space admitting covering space theory.

Let π be a discrete subgroup of $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$ and assume

1. The left translational action $\ell(G)$ of G on $G \times W$ is *normalized* by π .
2. $\Gamma \subset \pi \cap \ell(G)$ is discrete and normal in π .
3. The induced action of $Q = \pi/\Gamma$ on W is proper.

These conditions imply that the group $\ell(G) \cdot \pi \subset \text{TOP}(G \times W)$, generated by G and π , acts properly on $G \times W$.

With the above three conditions, we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 G & \longrightarrow & G \times W & \xrightarrow{G \setminus} & W & & \text{Product principal } G\text{-fibering} \\
 \downarrow \Gamma \setminus & & \downarrow \pi \setminus & & \downarrow Q \setminus & & \\
 \Gamma \setminus G & \longrightarrow & X = \pi \setminus (G \times W) & \xrightarrow{p} & Q \setminus W & & \text{Seifert fibering}
 \end{array}$$

X is called an *injective Seifert fiber space*, with *typical fiber* $\Gamma \setminus G$, B is called the *base* and the mapping p is called the *injective Seifert fibering*. Since the actions of π on $G \times W$ and the action of Q on W are properly discontinuous, the quotient spaces X and B are reasonable spaces.

If π centralizes $\ell(G)$, then $\Gamma \subset \pi \cap \ell(G)$ is in the center of G and the G action descends to a G/Γ action on X . In general, neither Γ is central in G nor π centralizes the G action but, as we shall see, the obvious “fibers” (inverse images $p^{-1}(b)$) still have a very nice description.

2.5. $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$. To describe or construct all possible injective Seifert fiberings over B with typical fiber $\Gamma \backslash G$, we begin with a proper action of a discrete group Q on W so that $B = Q \backslash W$. We form the product space $G \times W$ letting G act on the first factor of $G \times W$ by left translations. Denote this action by $\ell(G) \subset \text{TOP}(G \times W)$. We then search for discrete group π contained in the normalizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$ such that $\Gamma \subset \pi \cap G$ is discrete in G and the induced action of the quotient π/Γ is equivalent to the Q action on W . Our first task then is to describe the algebraic structure of the normalizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$. The topological structure of $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$, while important and significant for some applications, is not necessary for our problem now at hand.

A space is *admissible* if it is completely regular (Hausdorff) locally path-connected and semi-locally simply-connected. Let G be a Lie group, $\text{Aut}(G)$ the Lie group of continuous automorphisms of G . $\text{TOP}(X)$ denotes the group of homeomorphisms of X . See section 1 for a list of notation.

Recall that a homeomorphism f of $G \times W$ onto itself is *weakly G -equivariant* if and only if there exists a continuous automorphism α_f of G so that

$$f(a \cdot x, w) = \alpha_f(a)f(x, w)$$

for all $a \in G$ and $(x, w) \in G \times W$.

Definition 2.5.1. The group of all weakly G -equivariant self-homeomorphisms of $G \times W$ is denoted by $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.

Lemma 2.5.2. $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is the normalizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{TOP}(G \times W)$. Then the following are all equivalent:

- (1) $f \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$
- (2) f is weakly G -equivariant.
- (3) There exists $\alpha_f \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $f(a \cdot x, w) = \alpha_f(a)f(x, w)$ for all $a \in G$ and $(x, w) \in G \times W$.
- (4) There exists $\alpha_f \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $f \circ \ell(a) \circ f^{-1} = \ell(\alpha_f(a))$ for all $a \in G$.
- (5) f normalizes $\ell(G)$.

□

Each element $f \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ sends fibers of $G \times W \rightarrow W$ to fibers. That is, each f induces a map $\bar{f} \in \text{TOP}(W)$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times W & \xrightarrow{f} & G \times W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & W \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, to each $f \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, we can assign a pair $(\alpha_f, \bar{f}) \in \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$. This assignment

$$\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$$

is a surjective homomorphism and it splits. For, if we define $(\alpha, h)(x, w) = (\alpha(x), h(w))$ for $(\alpha, h) \in \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, h)(a \cdot x, w) &= (\alpha(a \cdot x), h(w)) \\ &= (\alpha(a)(\alpha(x), h(w))) \\ &= \ell(\alpha(a))((\alpha(x), h(w))) \\ &= \ell(\alpha(a))(\alpha, h)(x, w). \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the kernel of $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$. If $f \in K$, since f moves only along the fibers, there exists a unique continuous function $\lambda : G \times W \rightarrow G$ such that $f(x, w) = (x \cdot (\lambda(x, w))^{-1}, w)$. We show that λ only depends upon W . For any $a \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} (ax \cdot (\lambda(ax, w))^{-1}, w) &= f(a \cdot x, w) \\ &= \ell(a)f(x, w) \quad \text{since } \alpha_f = \text{id} \\ &= \ell(a)(x \cdot (\lambda(x, w))^{-1}, w) \\ &= (ax \cdot (\lambda(x, w))^{-1}, w). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\lambda(ax, w) = \lambda(x, w)$ which means λ only depends upon W . Let

$$\text{M}(W, G) = \{\text{the continuous maps of } W \text{ into } G\}.$$

For each $\lambda \in \text{M}(W, G)$, define $f_\lambda \in K$ by $f_\lambda(x, w) = (x \cdot (\lambda(w))^{-1}, w)$. The assignment $\text{M}(W, G) \ni \lambda \mapsto f_\lambda \in K$ is easily checked to be an isomorphism, where the group law in $\text{M}(W, G)$ is

$$(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)(w) = \lambda_1(w) \cdot \lambda_2(w).$$

Conjugation in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ induces the action of $\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$ on $\text{M}(W, G)$. It is given by

$$(\alpha, h)\lambda = \alpha \circ \lambda \circ h^{-1},$$

that is,

$$(\alpha, h) \circ \lambda \circ (\alpha, h)^{-1}(x, w) = (x \cdot \alpha(\lambda(h^{-1}(w)))^{-1}, w).$$

For,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, h) \circ \lambda \circ (\alpha, h)^{-1}(x, w) &= (\alpha, h) \circ \lambda(\alpha^{-1}(x), h^{-1}(w)) \\ &= (\alpha, h)(\alpha^{-1}(x) \cdot (\lambda(h^{-1}(w)))^{-1}, h^{-1}(w)) \\ &= (x \cdot \alpha(\lambda(h^{-1}(w)))^{-1}, w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, h)\lambda(x, w) &= \alpha \circ \lambda \circ h^{-1}(x, w) \\ &= (x \cdot \alpha(\lambda(h^{-1}(w)))^{-1}, w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have shown

Lemma 2.5.3. $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) = \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W))$, and it is the normalizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$.

The group operation in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1, \alpha_1, h_1) \cdot (\lambda_2, \alpha_2, h_2) &= (\lambda_1 \cdot (\alpha_1, h_1) \lambda_2, \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2, h_1 \circ h_2) \\ &= (\lambda_1 \cdot (\alpha_1 \circ \lambda_2 \circ h_1^{-1}), \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2, h_1 \circ h_2) \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, (λ, α, h) acts on (x, w) by

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda, \alpha, h) \cdot (x, w) &= (\lambda, 1, 1) \circ (1, \alpha, h)(x, w) \\ &= (\lambda, 1, 1)(\alpha(x), h(w)) \\ &= (\alpha(x) \cdot (\lambda(h(w)))^{-1}, h(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ is the group of all weakly G -equivariant homeomorphisms of $G \times W$ which move only along the fibers. The group $M(W, G)$ is the gauge group for the trivial fiber bundle $G \times W \rightarrow W$.

For $a \in G$, the constant map $W \rightarrow G$ sending W to a is denoted by $r(a)$. Clearly,

$$r(a) = (a, 1, 1) \in M(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)).$$

This is a right translation by a^{-1} on the first factor of $G \times W$ so that $r(a)(x, w) = (x \cdot a^{-1}, w)$, and the subgroup of all such right translations is denoted by $r(G) \subset M(W, G)$. Let $\ell(G)$ denote the group of left translations on the first factor so that $\ell(a)(x, w) = (a \cdot x, w)$. Then elements of $\ell(G)$ are of the form

$$\ell(a) = (a^{-1}, \mu(a), 1) \in M(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)),$$

where $\mu(a) \in \text{Inn}(G)$ is conjugation by a .

Remark 2.5.4. If W is a smooth manifold and we take $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) \cap \text{Diff}(G \times W)$, then this coincides with the weakly G -equivariant diffeomorphisms of $G \times W$ and

$$\text{Diff}_G(G \times W) = \mathcal{C}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Diff}(W)),$$

where $\mathcal{C}(W, G)$ is the group of smooth maps of W into G .

2.6. Example: 3-dimensional Seifert manifolds with base the 2-torus. We take $W = \mathbb{R}^2$. A group $Q = \mathbb{Z}^2$ acts on W as translations. Let

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

be a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by Q . Then π has a presentation

$$\pi = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid [\alpha, \beta] = \gamma^p, [\alpha, \gamma] = [\beta, \gamma] = 1 \rangle,$$

where γ is a generator of the center $\mathbb{Z}$, and the images of α, β in Q are generators of Q . Suppose $p \neq 0$. Using $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, one can obtain an effective action of π on the product $\mathbb{R} \times W$ as follows: For $(z, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(z, x, y) &= (z + y, \quad x + 1, \quad y) \\ \beta(z, x, y) &= (z, \quad x, \quad y + 1) \\ \gamma(z, x, y) &= (z + \frac{1}{p}, \quad x, \quad y). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Notice that these maps are of the form

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (\phi(z) - \lambda(h(x, y)), h(x, y)),$$

where ϕ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ and h is an action of Q on W , and λ is a map $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In our case ϕ is always the identity automorphism. Consequently, the group π lies in $\text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times W)$ as

$$(\lambda, \phi, h) \in M(W, \mathbb{R}) \rtimes (\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{TOP}(W)).$$

The action of π on $\mathbb{R} \times W$ described above can be explained differently as follows. Consider the Heisenberg group

$$N = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

which is connected, simply connected and two-step nilpotent. We denote such a matrix by (z, x, y) so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (z, x, y).$$

Then the group operation is

$$(z', x', y') \cdot (z, x, y) = (z' + z + x'y, x' + x, y' + y),$$

and the center of N is 1-dimensional $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}$, consisting of all matrices with $x = y = 0$. The quotient $W = N/\mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 = W \rightarrow 1$$

is an exact sequence of groups. As spaces, this is a smooth fibration which is also a product $N = \mathbb{R} \times W$. Suppose $p \neq 0$ in the presentation of π . Let

$$\alpha = (0, 1, 0), \beta = (0, 0, 1), \text{ and } \gamma = (1/p, 0, 0) \in N.$$

Then these satisfy the relations of the group π so that π sits in N as a discrete subgroup, and furthermore, the action of π defined above is nothing but the left multiplication on N by elements of π .

Actually this means that $\pi \backslash N$ is a nilmanifold which is a matter that we shall explore more later. The free action of π commutes with the $\mathbb{R}$ action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \pi$ is the central $\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup generated by $\gamma = (\frac{1}{p}, 0, 0)$. The $\mathbb{R}$ action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ descends to an effective $S^1 = \langle \gamma \rangle \backslash \mathbb{R}$ action on $\pi \backslash (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. The map $\pi \backslash (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash \mathbb{R}^2 = T^2$ is the orbit mapping of a free S^1 action. It is the principal S^1 -bundle over T^2 with Euler class $-p$.

2.7. Injective Seifert Constructions. In section 2.5, we described the structure of the group of weakly G -equivariant self homeomorphisms of $G \times W$ as

$$\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) = \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W))$$

and showed that it was the normalizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{TOP}(G \times W)$. The action of $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W))$ on $G \times W$ is given by

$$(\lambda, \alpha, h) \cdot (x, w) = (\alpha(x) \cdot (\lambda(hw))^{-1}, hw).$$

We observed that $\ell(G)$ embeds in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ as

$$\ell_a \mapsto (a^{-1}, \mu(a), 1) \in \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) \subset \text{TOP}_G(G \times W),$$

where $\text{Inn}(G)$ denotes the inner automorphisms of G . Both $\text{Inn}(G)$ and $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ are normal in $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ and in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, respectively. We may therefore rewrite $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ as the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \rightarrow 1$$

where $\text{Out}(G) = \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.

In section 2.4, we described the condition that must be satisfied if a discrete subgroup π of $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is to yield an injective Seifert fibering.

Suppose

1. G is a Lie group.
2. Γ is isomorphic to a discrete subgroup of G .
3. W is an admissible space (see subsection 2.5).
4. Q is a discrete group acting properly on W via $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$.

5. $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ is an extension.

Definition. A homomorphism of π into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ so that the diagram of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutes is called an *injective Seifert Construction*. The group $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is called the *universal group* for this Seifert Construction. It follows that the group $\ell(G) \cdot \theta(\pi)$, generated by $\ell(G)$ and $\theta(\pi)$ in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, acts properly on $G \times W$. The construction then yields the injective Seifert fibering

$$\ell(\Gamma) \backslash \ell(G) \longrightarrow \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W) \xrightarrow{p} Q \backslash W$$

with the typical fiber $\Gamma \backslash G$ and base $Q \backslash W$.

Note that the total space of our Seifert fibering is $\pi \backslash (G \times W) = Q \backslash ((\Gamma \backslash G) \times W)$. We say it is *modelled on* $G \times W$. The base space is denoted by $B = Q \backslash W$.

2.8. The singular fibers.

Proposition 2.8.1. *Each singular fiber is the quotient of the homogeneous space $\Gamma \backslash G$ by a finite group of affine diffeomorphisms.*

Proof. There is an intermediate fibering $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow (\Gamma \backslash G) \times W \rightarrow W$ so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & G \times W & \longrightarrow & W \\ & & \downarrow \Gamma \backslash & & \downarrow = \\ \Gamma \backslash G & \longrightarrow & (\Gamma \backslash G) \times W & \longrightarrow & W & \text{fibering} \\ & & \downarrow Q \backslash & & \downarrow Q \backslash \\ \Gamma \backslash G & \longrightarrow & \pi \backslash (G \times W) & \longrightarrow & Q \backslash W = B & \text{Seifert fibering} \end{array}$$

The typical fiber of the Seifert fibering

$$\Gamma \backslash G \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$$

is the homogeneous space $\Gamma \backslash G$. Then, what are the singular fibers?

- (1) Pick $w \in W$ over $b \in B$.
- (2) Over w , find $(\Gamma \backslash G) \times w$ in $(\Gamma \backslash G) \times W$.
- (3) Let Q_w be the stabilizer of w . Then $p^{-1}(b) = Q_w \backslash (\Gamma \backslash G) \times w$.

Another way:

- (4) Over w , find $G \times w$ in $G \times W$.
- (5) Let π_w be the subgroup of π leaving $G \times w$ invariant. That is, $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi_w \rightarrow Q_w \rightarrow 1$ is the pullback of $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ via $Q_w \hookrightarrow Q$.

Then $p^{-1}(b) = Q_w \backslash ((\Gamma \backslash G) \times w) = \pi_w \backslash (G \times w)$.

The diagram (of the Seifert fibering) restricted to $G \times w$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G & \longrightarrow & G \times w & \longrightarrow & w \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 \Gamma \backslash G & \longrightarrow & (\Gamma \backslash G) \times w & \longrightarrow & w \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Gamma \backslash G & \longrightarrow & \underline{(\Gamma \backslash G) \times w} & \longrightarrow & b \\
 & & \downarrow Q_w & & \\
 & & \pi_w \backslash (G \times w) & &
 \end{array}$$

We need to understand the action of Q_w on $(\Gamma \backslash G) \times w$, or equivalently, the action of π_w on $G \times w$.

The typical fibers, which are homogeneous spaces $\Gamma \backslash G$, occur over $b \in B$ for which $Q_w = 1$; that is, where Q acts freely. For example, if Q acts effectively on W and W is a connected manifold, then the set of typical fibers will be open and dense in E . Each of singular fibers is a quotient of $\Gamma \backslash G$ by a finite group of affine diffeomorphisms of G . This is because when one restricts $G \times W$ to $G \times w$, $M(w, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(w))$ becomes $r(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$.

Our group Γ injects into $\ell(G)$ and π_w goes into $M(w, G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ which is the same as $r(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$. But $r(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) = \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) = \text{Aff}(G)$, because $(a, \alpha) = (a, \mu(a)^{-1}) \circ (1, \mu(a) \circ \alpha)$ in $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi_w & \longrightarrow & Q_w \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \ell(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aff}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(G) \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

Thus, π_w acts on $G \times w \subset G \times W$ as a group of affine diffeomorphisms of G . The singular fiber $p^{-1}(b) = \pi_w \backslash (G \times w)$ is an infra-homogeneous space (see section 2.9) if the finite group $Q_w = \pi_w / \Gamma$ acts on $\Gamma \backslash G$ freely, and is an orbifold covered by $\Gamma \backslash G$ otherwise. $\square$

2.9. Infra-homogeneous Spaces. For a discrete $\pi \subset \text{Aff}(G)$ for which π acts properly on G and $\pi \cap G = \Gamma$ is of finite index in π , we obtain an example of an injective Seifert fibering by choosing $W = \{w\}$, a point. We get

$$\pi \backslash (G \times \{w\}) \xrightarrow{p} B = \{w\}.$$

This is an injective Seifert fibering with only one fiber, which is singular, and with typical fiber the homogeneous $\Gamma \backslash (G \times \{w\})$.

We like to make more precise the geometry carried by the singular fibers of our Seifert fiberings. Endow G with the linear connection defined by the left-invariant vector fields. Since the parallel transport is the effect of the left translations on the tangent vectors of G , and hence clearly independent of paths; the connection is flat. A geodesic through the identity element $e \in G$ are the 1-parameter subgroups of G and thus defined for any real value of the affine parameter. All geodesics are translates of geodesics through e and thus the connection is complete. One easily checks that the torsion tensor has vanishing covariant derivative. According to [23, Proposition 2.1],

$$\text{Aff}(G) = \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$$

is the group of affine diffeomorphisms (= connection-preserving diffeomorphisms) of G , and $(a, \alpha) \in G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ acts on G by $(a, \alpha)(x) = a \cdot \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in G$. For example, if $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the ordinary affine group of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose π is a discrete subgroup of $\text{Aff}(G)$ and acts properly on G . Suppose $\Gamma \subset \pi \cap G$ and $Q = \pi/\Gamma$ is finite. If π acts freely on G , we call $\Gamma \backslash G$ a *homogeneous space* and the quotient $\pi \backslash G = Q \backslash (\Gamma \backslash G)$ an *infra-homogeneous space*. However in general, π will not necessarily act freely and $\pi \backslash G$ is then an orbifold (i.e. a V -manifold). One should notice that the finite regular (possibly branched) covering $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \pi \backslash G$ is extremely nice since the action of Q came from $\text{Aff}(G)$.

The case where G is a simply connected abelian or nilpotent Lie group is especially important for us. For $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $O(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. A uniform (= cocompact) discrete subgroup π of $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes O(n)$ is called a *crystallographic group*. By a theorem of Bieberbach, $\pi \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and is a lattice (=uniform discrete subgroup) of $\mathbb{R}^n$. [In general, a lattice Γ of a Lie group G is a discrete subgroup such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ has finite volume. For a solvable Lie group G , this is equivalent to $\Gamma \backslash G$ being compact.] If π is torsion-free, we call π a *Bieberbach group* (=torsion free crystallographic group). Flat manifolds are the orbit spaces $\pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^n$, where π is a Bieberbach group. Note that each flat manifold is finitely covered by a flat torus $\mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let G be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Choose a maximal compact subgroup C of $\text{Aut}(G)$. A uniform discrete subgroup π of $G \rtimes C$ is called an *almost crystallographic group*. If it is torsion-free, it is called an *almost Bieberbach group*. An almost Bieberbach group π yields an *infra-nilmanifold* $\pi \backslash G$. Note here again that any infra-nilmanifold is finitely covered by the nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$, where $\Gamma = \pi \cap G$. See [40] and [1] for more details.

Thus, nilmanifolds are a generalization of tori, and infra-nilmanifolds correspond to flat manifolds. It is also known that a manifold is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold if and only if it is *almost flat*. This term is due to Gromov. See [16] for a proof of the above fact.

3. GROUP COHOMOLOGY

3.1. Introduction. The injective Seifert Construction entails embedding a discrete group π into the universal group $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ in such a way that the following diagram of short exact sequences of groups commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, in order to understand when such constructions are possible and to classify them when they do exist, we need to investigate the general procedure for mapping one short exact sequence of groups into another. These results will be formulated in terms of the first and second cohomology of groups with not necessarily abelian coefficients. We will need the full generality of these results later as we build in more geometry to our Seifert Constructions by “reducing” our universal groups $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.

First, we shall recall some definitions and elementary properties of group extensions. Then we explain the correspondence between congruence classes of extensions

of abelian group A by a group Q in terms of the second cohomology of Q with coefficients in A , $H^2(Q; A)$. If A is replaced by a non-abelian group G , we show that the congruence classes of extensions of G by Q , $\text{Opext}(G, Q, \varphi)$ is in one-one correspondence with $H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$, where $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ is the center of G .

Next, we study the problem of mapping homomorphically one short exact sequence of groups into another. Given one such homomorphism θ_0 , we show that all other possible homomorphisms are measured by the first cohomology group. Finally, if we change the extension of the initial exact sequence (measured by a second cohomology group) we determine when it too maps into the target exact sequence.

3.2. Group Extensions. A group extension is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

of not necessarily abelian groups. There is a naturally associated homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$, called the *abstract kernel* of the extension. This comes about as follows. Pick a “section” $s : Q \rightarrow E$ so that the composite $Q \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q$ is the identity map (s is not necessarily a homomorphism). We pick $s(1) = 1$. This defines a map $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ (which is a lift of the abstract kernel φ) given by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \mu(s(\alpha)),$$

where μ is the conjugation map. Even if $\tilde{\varphi}$ is not a homomorphism, it induces a homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$, which is our abstract kernel. Of course, φ does not depend on the choice of the section s . We say *the group E is an extension associated with the abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$* .

Define $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ by

$$s(\alpha) \cdot s(\beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) \cdot s(\alpha\beta).$$

Then one can easily verify that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\beta) = \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)) \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha\beta) \tag{2}$$

$$f(\alpha, 1) = 1 = f(1, \beta) \tag{3}$$

$$f(\alpha, \beta) \cdot f(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(f(\beta, \gamma)) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta\gamma) \tag{4}$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Q$. In fact, (2) follows from the definition of the map f above, (3) follows from $s(1) = 1$, and (4) is a result of the associative law of G .

Conversely, suppose we have maps $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ (not necessarily a homomorphism) lifting a homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$ and $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ satisfying (2), (3) and (4). Then there exists an extension $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ with the given abstract kernel φ . In fact, $E = G \times Q$ as a set, and has group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta).$$

Thus we have

Proposition 3.2.1. *For a given abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$, pick a lift $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$. Then the set of all extensions with abstract kernel φ is in one-one correspondence with the set of all maps $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ satisfying (2), (3) and (4).*

Definition 3.2.2. Let $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$ be a homomorphism, $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ be a lift, and $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ a map satisfying (2), (3) and (4). Then the group $E = G \times Q$ with group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta).$$

is denoted by $G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$.

Note that some abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$ may not have any extension at all. When there is a lift $\tilde{\varphi}$ which is a homomorphism (for example, when G is abelian), there exists at least one extension (by taking $f = 1$, the constant map), the *semi-direct product* $G \times_{(1, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. As a set, this is $G \times Q$, and the group operation is

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b), \alpha\beta).$$

Definition 3.2.3. Two extensions

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

with the same abstract kernels are *congruent* if there is an isomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow E'$ which restricts to the identity map on G , and induces the identity on Q . That is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow = & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Definition 3.2.4. Let $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$ be a homomorphism, and $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ be a lift of φ . Then $\text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ denotes the set of all congruence classes of extensions of the group G by Q with operator $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Therefore, an element $[f] \in \text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ is represented by an extension $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ with $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$.

3.3. Pullback and Pushout.

3.3.1. (Pushout) Let Γ be a subgroup of G . We say (G, Γ) has UAEP(unique automorphism extension property) if every automorphism of Γ extends to an automorphism of G uniquely. For example, if G is a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and Γ is any lattice, then (G, Γ) has UAEP. In particular, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$, where $\mathbb{Z}^n$ spans $\mathbb{R}^n$, has UAEP.

Let $\epsilon : \Gamma \hookrightarrow G$ be an injective homomorphism. Suppose $(G, \epsilon(\Gamma))$ has UAEP, and

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. Then there is a unique group E fitting the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{pushout by } \epsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow G$$

Such a group E can be constructed as follows. Suppose $[f] \in \text{Opext}(Q, \Gamma, \tilde{\varphi})$ is represented by the extension $\pi = \Gamma \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. That is, $\pi = \Gamma \times Q$ as a set, and has group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)$$

for $a, b \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q$. Recall that $\tilde{\varphi}$ and f satisfy the equalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) in section 3.2. Since (G, Γ) has the UAEP, $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ can be viewed as $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ and $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow \Gamma$ as $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G$. With these new interpretations of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and f , the equalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) still hold. Now we can define E by $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. That is, $E = G \times Q$ as a set, and has group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)$$

for $a, b \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q$.

When ϵ is an obvious inclusion $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow G$, we denote such an extension E simply by $G\pi$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow = & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G\pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{pushout by } i : \Gamma \rightarrow G$$

3.3.2. (Pullback) Let

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \tilde{P} \rightarrow P \rightarrow 1$$

be exact, and $\rho : Q \rightarrow P$ be a homomorphism. Then there is a unique group $\tilde{Q}$ fitting the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Q} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \tilde{P} & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{pullback by } \rho : Q \rightarrow P$$

Such a group $\tilde{Q}$ can be constructed as follows. Suppose $[f] \in \text{Opext}(P, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ is represented by the extension $\tilde{P} = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} P$. That is, $\tilde{P} = G \times P$ as a set, and has group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta),$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ and $f : P \times P \rightarrow G$. Now, $\tilde{\varphi} : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ gives rise to $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \xrightarrow{\rho} P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$, and $f : P \times P \rightarrow G$ gives rise to $f : Q \times Q \xrightarrow{\rho \times \rho} P \times P \rightarrow G$. With these new interpretations of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and f , the equalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) still hold. Now we can define $\tilde{Q}$ by, $\tilde{Q} = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. That is, $\tilde{Q} = G \times Q$ as a set, and has group operation

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)$$

for $a, b \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q$.

3.4. Extensions with abelian kernel A and $H^2(Q; A)$. Consider the case where $G = A$ is abelian in the previous section. In other words, we try to classify all group extensions of an abelian group A by Q with abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(A)$. Since A is abelian, $\text{Aut}(A) = \text{Out}(A)$, and there is no need of having a lift $\tilde{\varphi}$. Moreover (2) holds automatically. A map $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow A$ satisfying the two equalities (3) and (4) is called a *factor set* (or *2-cocycle*) for the abstract kernel φ . The set of all factor sets is denoted by $Z_\varphi^2(Q; A)$ or simply, by $Z^2(Q; A)$.

Let $\lambda : Q \rightarrow A$ be any map such that $\lambda(1) = 0$. Define $\delta\lambda : Q \times Q \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta\lambda(\alpha, \beta) = \lambda(\alpha) + \varphi(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)) - \lambda(\alpha\beta). \quad (5)$$

It turns out that such a $\delta\lambda$ is a 2-cocycle and is called a *2-coboundary*. The set of all 2-coboundaries is denoted by $B_\varphi^2(Q; A)$. Clearly, $B_\varphi^2(Q; A)$ is a subgroup of $Z_\varphi^2(Q; A)$. Let $f_1, f_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow A$ be 2-cocycles. We say f_1 is *cohomologous* to f_2 if $f_1 - f_2 \in B_\varphi^2(Q; A)$; that is, if there is a map $\lambda : Q \rightarrow A$ such that $f_2 - f_1 = \delta\lambda$.

We define the second cohomology group as the quotient group

$$H_\varphi^2(Q; A) = Z_\varphi^2(Q; A) / B_\varphi^2(Q; A).$$

Since the abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(A)$ lifts to a homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$, there is always an extension; namely, the semi-direct product $A \rtimes Q$. Then every 2-cocycle $f \in Z_\varphi^2(Q; A)$ gives rise to an extension of A by Q , which can be denoted by $A \times_{(f, \varphi)} Q$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that two cocycles f_1 and f_2 yield congruent extensions $A \times_{(f_1, \varphi)} Q$ and $A \times_{(f_2, \varphi)} Q$ if and only if f_1 and f_2 are cohomologous. Consequently, we have

$$\text{Opext}(A, Q, \varphi) \equiv H_\varphi^2(Q; A)$$

as sets, and they classify the extensions of A by Q with abstract kernel φ , up to congruence. It is customary to identify the zero element of $H_\varphi^2(Q; A)$ with the extension class of the semi-direct product $A \rtimes Q$. Then addition of the 2-cocycles in $Z_\varphi^2(Q; A)$ induces the group operations in $H_\varphi^2(Q; A)$.

3.5. Extensions with non-abelian kernel G and $H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$. For any homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$, take any lift $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$. This, in turn, induces a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Z}(G))$ by restriction (regardless which lift of φ is chosen, and even if $\tilde{\varphi}$ was not a homomorphism). We shall define an action of $H_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$ on the set $\text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$ which will turn out to be simply transitive.

Let $g \in Z_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$; that is, $g : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G)$ satisfying the equalities (3) and (4) of section 3.2. For any $[f_0] \in \text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$, define

$$(g \cdot f_0)(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha, \beta) \cdot f_0(\alpha, \beta).$$

Then, clearly, $g \cdot f_0$ satisfies the equalities (2), (3) and (4). Moreover, if two extensions $G \times_{(f_0, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$ and $G \times_{(f_1, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$ are congruent, so are $G \times_{(g \cdot f_0, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$ and $G \times_{(g \cdot f_1, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. Therefore

$$(g, f_0) \mapsto g \cdot f_0$$

is an action of $Z^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$ on $\text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$.

Suppose $[g \cdot f] = [f]$ for some $[f] \in \text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$. Then one easily sees that $g \in B_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$. This gives us a simple action of $H_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$ on $\text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$.

Now we show that this action is transitive. Suppose $f, f' \in \text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$. Let

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) \cdot f'(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in Q$. Then $g(\alpha, \beta)$ lies in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, and it is easy to check

$$g : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G)$$

satisfies the equalities (3) and (4). Thus, $g \in Z_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$. Consequently, we have shown the action of $H_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$ on $\text{Opext}(G, Q; \tilde{\varphi})$ is simply transitive. Thus,

$$\text{Opext}(G, Q, \tilde{\varphi}) \approx H_\varphi^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$$

as sets, and they classify the extensions of G by Q with abstract kernel φ , up to congruence. In general, however, there may not exist any extension of G by Q with the given abstract kernel. Moreover, even when there exists one, there is no *a priori* special element, like the semi-direct product $G \rtimes Q$, because the semi-direct product can be formed when and only when the abstract kernel lifts to an homomorphism into $\text{Aut}(G)$.

3.6. $H^1(Q; C)$ with a non-abelian C . The first cohomology is useful in classifying homomorphisms from one group to another. Let C be a group (not necessarily abelian) and $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ be a homomorphism. We shall define the first cohomology set $H_\varphi^1(Q; C)$. A map $\eta : Q \rightarrow C$ is called a 1-cocycle if it satisfies

$$\eta(\alpha\beta) = \eta(\alpha) \cdot \varphi(\alpha)(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in Q$. The set of all 1-cocycles is denoted by $Z_\varphi^1(Q; C)$. Two 1-cocycles $\eta, \eta' : Q \rightarrow C$ are *cohomologous* if there exists $c \in C$ such that

$$\eta'(\alpha) = c \cdot \eta(\alpha) \cdot \varphi(\alpha)(c^{-1})$$

for all $\alpha \in Q$. The set of cohomologous classes of $Z_\varphi^1(Q; C)$ is denoted by $H_\varphi^1(Q; C)$. When C is abelian, $H_\varphi^1(Q; C)$ is the ordinary first cohomology group.

3.7. Mapping one group extension into another.

Definition 3.7.1. A diagram of short exact sequences of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad (6)$$

is called *half-commutative* if i is an inclusion and

- (A) The right hand side square is commutative, and
- (B) For every $x \in E$, $i(x \cdot a \cdot x^{-1}) = \psi(x) \cdot i(a) \cdot \psi(x)^{-1}$ for all $a \in G$.

Condition (B) is weaker than the left hand side square being commutative (i.e., $\psi|_G = i$). It simply means that

$$i \circ \mu(x) = \mu'(\psi(x)) \circ i : G \rightarrow G'$$

for all $x \in E$. It is not required that $\psi|_G = i$.

Let $[f] \in \text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ represent the congruence class of the extension E . That is, $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$. The homomorphism $\psi : E \rightarrow E'$ gives rise to a map

$$\tilde{\varphi}' : Q \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G')$$

by $\tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha) = \mu'(\psi((1, \alpha)))$, where μ' is conjugation in E' . Since $\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \mu(1, \alpha)$, the two maps $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}' : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G')$ are related by the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)} & G \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)} & G' \end{array}$$

This shows that $\tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)$ maps $i(G)$ onto itself, and hence $C = C_{G'}(i(G))$, the centralizer of $i(G)$ in G' , onto itself also.

Theorem 3.7.2. *Let $\psi : E \rightarrow E'$ be a homomorphism which makes the diagram (6) half commutative. Let $E = G \times_{\chi_{f,\tilde{\varphi}}} Q$, and define $\tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha) = \mu'(\psi(1, \alpha))$ for $\alpha \in Q$ (μ' is conjugation in E'). Then,*

- (1) *There exists a homomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow E'$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

commutative if and only if there exists a map $\lambda : Q \rightarrow C = C_{G'}(i(G))$ satisfying

$$i(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), 1). \quad (8)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in Q$.

- (2) *Suppose that there exists a homomorphism $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow E'$ as above. Then $\mu' \circ \theta_0$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi'' : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$.*

The set of all θ 's fitting the diagram, up to conjugation by elements of G' (in fact, of C), is in one-one correspondence with $H_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C)$.

- (3) *Suppose that there exists a homomorphism $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow E'$ as above, and that $i(\mathcal{Z}(G)) \subset \mathcal{Z}(G')$. Let $[E''] \in \text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ be an extension. Then there exists a homomorphism $E'' \rightarrow E'$ completing the diagram if and only if*

$$[E''] - [E] \in \text{Ker} \{i_* : H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G)) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G'))\}.$$

Proof. (1) The extension E is $G \times Q$ with group law

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta).$$

Then

$$(1, \alpha)(a, 1)(1, \alpha)^{-1} = (\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(a), 1).$$

Suppose there is a homomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow E'$ making both squares commutative. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(1, \alpha) \cdot i(a, 1) \cdot \theta(1, \alpha)^{-1} &= \theta((1, \alpha)(a, 1)(1, \alpha)^{-1}) \\ &= i((1, \alpha)(a, 1)(1, \alpha)^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(1, \alpha) \cdot i(a, 1) \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since conjugations of $i(a, 1)$ by $\theta(1, \alpha)$ and $\psi(1, \alpha)$ are the same, their difference must lie in the centralizer of $i(G)$ in G' . In other words, there exists a map

$$\lambda : Q \rightarrow C = C_{G'}(i(G))$$

so that $\theta(1, \alpha) = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)$. Thus, in general,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(a, \alpha) &= \theta(a, 1) \cdot \theta(1, \alpha) \\ &= i(a, 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \theta((1, \alpha)(1, \beta)) &= \theta(f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta) \\ &= i(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(1, \alpha) \cdot \theta(1, \beta) &= (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)) \cdot (\lambda(\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \beta)) \\
&= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \{\psi(1, \alpha) \cdot \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)^{-1}\} \cdot \{\psi(1, \alpha) \cdot \psi(1, \beta)\} \\
&= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta) \\
&= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From the equalities (9) and (10), we get

$$i(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), 1).$$

Conversely, suppose there exists $\lambda : Q \rightarrow C$ satisfying this equality. One simply defines $\theta : E \rightarrow E'$ by

$$\theta(a, \alpha) = i(a, 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha).$$

We claim that θ is a desired homomorphism. This is shown by a series of calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(a, \alpha) \cdot \theta(b, \beta) &= \{i(a, 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)\} \cdot \{i(b, 1) \cdot \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \beta)\} \quad (\text{definition of } \theta) \\
&= i(a, 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \{\psi(1, \alpha) \cdot i(b, 1) \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)^{-1}\} \cdot \psi(1, \beta) \\
&= i(a, 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(i(b, 1)) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta) \\
&\quad (\text{since } \psi \text{ is a homomorphism and } (1, \alpha)(1, \beta) = (f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta)) \\
&= i(a, 1) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(i(b, 1)) \cdot \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta) \\
&\quad (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \in C) \\
&= i(a, 1) \cdot i(\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b, 1) \cdot \{\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta), 1)\} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta) \\
&\quad (\tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha) \circ i = i \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)) \\
&= i(a, 1) \cdot i(\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b, 1) \cdot \{i(f(\alpha, \beta), 1) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}\} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta) \quad (\text{equality (8)}) \\
&= i(a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta)) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha\beta) \\
&= \theta(a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(b) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta) \quad (\text{definition of } \theta) \\
&= \theta((a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta)). \quad (\text{group operation in } E)
\end{aligned}$$

(2) For $x \in E$, $c \in C = C_{G'}(i(G))$ and $a \in G$, it is easy to see that $\mu'(\theta_0(x))(c)$ and $i(a)$ commute with each other. This shows that the homomorphism $E \xrightarrow{\theta_0} E' \xrightarrow{\mu'} \text{Aut}(G')$, where μ' is conjugation in E' , leaves C invariant. Therefore we have a homomorphism

$$E \xrightarrow{\theta_0} E' \xrightarrow{\mu'} \text{Aut}(C).$$

Furthermore, for $a \in G$,

$$(\mu' \circ \theta_0)(a) = \mu'(i(a))$$

is trivial on C . Thus $E \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ factors through Q . We have obtained a homomorphism

$$\varphi'' = \mu' \circ \theta_0 : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C).$$

(Note that φ'' is actually a homomorphism, even though $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G')$ is not). Let $\theta : E \rightarrow E'$ be a homomorphism fitting the diagram. Then θ must be of the form

$$\theta(\alpha) = \eta(\alpha)\theta_0(\alpha)$$

for some map $\eta : E \rightarrow G'$. It is easy to verify that η satisfies

$$\eta(\alpha\beta) = \eta(\alpha) \cdot \theta_0(\alpha)\eta(\beta)\theta_0(\alpha)^{-1} = \eta(\alpha) \cdot \varphi''(\alpha)(\eta(\beta)).$$

Since $\theta|_G = i = \theta_0|_G$, we have $\eta(a) = 1$ for all $a \in G$. For any $a \in G$ and $\alpha \in E$, $\eta(\alpha a) = \eta(\alpha)$ from the above equality. Moreover, $\eta(\alpha) = \eta(\alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} a \alpha)) = \eta(a \alpha) = \eta(a) \cdot \theta_0(a) \eta(\alpha) \theta_0(a)^{-1} = 1 \cdot i(a) \eta(\alpha) i(a)^{-1} = i(a) \eta(\alpha) i(a)^{-1}$. This shows η has values in the centralizer $C = C_{G'}(i(G))$. Thus

$$\eta : Q \rightarrow C = C_{G'}(i(G)),$$

and hence $\eta \in Z_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C)$. See section 3.6.

Conversely, a map $\eta : Q \rightarrow C$ satisfying the cocycle condition gives rise to a homomorphism $\eta \cdot \theta_0 : E \rightarrow E'$. Consequently,

$$\eta \longleftrightarrow \eta \cdot \theta_0$$

is a one-one correspondence between $Z_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C)$ and the set of all homomorphisms $E \rightarrow E'$ inducing i and $\bar{\theta}$ on G and Q , respectively.

Let $\eta, \eta' \in Z_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C)$, and suppose there exists $c \in C$ such that

$$(\eta' \cdot \theta_0)(\alpha) = c \cdot (\eta \cdot \theta_0)(\alpha) \cdot c^{-1}$$

for all $\alpha \in E$. This is equal to

$$\eta'(\alpha) = c \cdot \eta(\alpha) \cdot \varphi''(\alpha)(c^{-1});$$

i.e.,

$$[\eta'] = [\eta] \in H_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C).$$

Consequently, $H_{\varphi''}^1(Q; C)$ classifies all homomorphisms $E \rightarrow E'$ inducing i and $\bar{\theta}$ on G and Q , respectively, up to conjugation by elements of C .

(3) Let

$$1 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

be the pullback (see subsection 3.3.2) of the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 1$ via $\bar{\theta} : Q \rightarrow Q'$. For any $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, there exists a homomorphism $E'' \rightarrow E'$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E'' & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutative if and only if there exists a homomorphism $E'' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E'' & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutative. Suppose $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow E'$ exists as in the diagram (7). This yields $E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. We identify

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Opext}(G, Q, \tilde{\varphi}) &\approx H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G)) \\ \text{Opext}(G, Q, \tilde{\varphi}') &\approx H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G')). \end{aligned}$$

Since $i(\mathcal{Z}(G)) \subset \mathcal{Z}(G')$, $i : \mathcal{Z}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G')$ induces a homomorphism

$$i_* : H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G)) \longrightarrow H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G')).$$

Then, clearly, $[E''] \in \text{Opext}(G, Q, \tilde{\varphi})$ can map into $[E']$ (or, equivalently into $\mathcal{E}$) if and only if $[E''] - [E] \in H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G))$ maps to $0 \in H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G'))$. $\square$

Unless there is one definite extension E and a homomorphism θ_0 , one cannot conclude that there is a homomorphism $E'' \rightarrow E'$ using this cohomology homomorphism. On the other hand, suppose that there is an extension E and a homomorphism $E \rightarrow E'$, and that $H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G')) = 0$. Then every extension is in the kernel of $H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G)) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G'))$, and hence every extension with the abstract kernel φ can be mapped into E' .

4. SEIFERT FIBER SPACE CONSTRUCTION WITH $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$

4.1. Introduction. Recall, from Lemma 2.5.3, that the group of all weakly G -equivariant self-homeomorphisms of $G \times W$ is

$$\text{TOP}_G(G \times W) = \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)),$$

the universal group for a Seifert Construction.

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete subgroup, $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ a properly discontinuous action, and $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ a group extension (with abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$).

Our goal is to find a homomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \rtimes \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutative.

The construction of a homomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ will be achieved in two steps. First, we map π into a group $G\pi$ (see subsection 3.3.1), and second $G\pi$ into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.

For the first step, we require, in addition, that Γ be a lattice in a simply connected completely solvable Lie group or a semi-simple Lie group for which Mostow's rigidity theorem holds. Then we stack the two steps together to get our desired homomorphisms. A complete proof for the abelian case is given. The other cases rely heavily on the abelian case, and we sketch and reference the proofs for them.

As it turns out, the proofs of the existence also leads to uniqueness and rigidity theorems for these homomorphisms. The meaning of existence, uniqueness and rigidity are then explored, for it is these properties on which many of the applications of the Seifert Construction are based. Finally, we observe that the main theorem 4.3.2 of this section remains valid in the smooth category. We treat the second step first.

4.2. Mapping an extension of G into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$. Interpreting the algebraic criterion of Theorem 3.7.2 with $E = G\pi$ and $E' = \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, we get

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ be a properly discontinuous action, and $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ an extension with abstract kernel φ . Suppose $[f] \in \text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ represents the extension E . That is, $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$ (see subsection 3.2.2). (Then $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ and $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ satisfy the equalities (2), (3) and (4) of section 3.2).*

(1) *There exists a homomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ making*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \ell \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutative if and only if there exists a map $\lambda : Q \rightarrow \text{M}(W, G)$ satisfying

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = \{\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta) \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}\} \cdot \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \in r(G) \subset \text{M}(W, G) \quad (11)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in Q$. [Compare this with the equality (5) defining $\delta\lambda$].

(2) *Suppose there exists a homomorphism $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ as above. Then $\mu' \circ \theta_0$ (μ' is conjugation in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$) induces a homomorphism $\varphi'' : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{M}(W, G))$.*

The set of all θ 's fitting the diagram, up to conjugation by elements of $\text{M}(W, G)$, is in one-one correspondence with $H_{\varphi''}^1(Q; \text{M}(W, G))$.

(3) *Suppose that there exists a homomorphism $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ as above. Then $\theta_0(\mathcal{Z}(G)) \subset \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))$. Let $[E''] \in \text{Opext}(Q, G, \tilde{\varphi})$ be an extension. Then there exist a homomorphism $E'' \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ completing the diagram if and only if $[E''] - [E]$ lies in the kernel of $\ell_* : H^2(Q; \mathcal{Z}(G)) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G)))$.*

Proof. (1) We apply Theorem 3.7.2 (1) to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \ell \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Let $\psi : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ be given by

$$\psi(\tilde{\alpha}) = (1, \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha}), \rho(\tilde{\alpha})) \in \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)),$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha}) \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is conjugation in E , and $\rho : E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ (abuse of notation) is the given properly discontinuous action of Q on W . In particular,

$$\psi(1, \alpha) = (1, \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha), \rho(\alpha)).$$

Conditions (A) in section 3.7 is easily checked. For (B), Let $a \in G$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\tilde{\alpha} \cdot a \cdot \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}) &= \ell(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})(a)) \\ &= (\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})(a)^{-1}, \mu(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})(a)), 1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{\alpha}) \cdot \ell(a) \cdot \psi(\tilde{\alpha})^{-1} &= (1, \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha}), \rho(\tilde{\alpha})) \cdot (a^{-1}, \mu(a), 1) \cdot (1, \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})^{-1}, \rho(\tilde{\alpha})^{-1}) \\ &= (\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})(a)^{-1}, \mu(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\alpha})(a)), 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\ell(\tilde{\alpha} \cdot a \cdot \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}) = \psi(\tilde{\alpha}) \cdot \ell(a) \cdot \psi(\tilde{\alpha})^{-1}$$

as we wanted.

Now we examine the equality (8).

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(f(\alpha, \beta)) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} &= (f(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1) \cdot (\lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}, 1, 1) \\ &= (\lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \cdot f(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}'(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta)) \\
&= (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1}, 1, 1) \cdot \{\psi(1, \alpha) \cdot \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \cdot \psi(1, \alpha)^{-1}\} \cdot (1, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1) \\
&= (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1}, 1, 1) \cdot \{(1, \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha), \rho(\alpha)) \cdot (\lambda(\beta)^{-1}, 1, 1) \cdot (1, \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha), \rho(\alpha))^{-1}\} \cdot \\
&\quad (1, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1) \\
&= (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1}, 1, 1) \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}, 1, 1) \cdot (1, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1) \\
&= (\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \{\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}\}, \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)), 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\ell(f(\alpha, \beta)) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(\lambda(\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \psi(f(\alpha, \beta))$$

if and only if

$$\lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \cdot f(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \{\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta)^{-1} \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}\}$$

or equivalently,

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = \{\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta) \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}\} \cdot \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$

as elements of G . This is the identity (11).

(2) Suppose there exists a homomorphism $\theta_0 : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ as above. Since $\ell(G)$ is normal in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, and the centralizer of $\ell(G)$ in $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ is $\text{M}(W, G)$, $\text{M}(W, G)$ is normal in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$. Consequently, conjugation by elements of $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ leaves $\text{M}(W, G)$ invariant, and $\mu' \circ \theta_0$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi'' : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{M}(W, G))$. The rest is clear.

(3) Observe that the center of $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ is $\text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))$ so that $\ell(\mathcal{Z}(G)) \subset \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))$. Now apply Theorem 3.7.2 (3). $\square$

Remark 4.2.2. When the condition (11) is satisfied, vanishing of $H^1(Q; \text{M}(W, G))$ and $H^2(Q; \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G)))$ (e.g., if $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ is contractible) yields strong consequences by the statements (2) and (3). Namely,

- (2) *The homomorphism θ fitting the diagram is unique up to conjugation by elements of $\text{M}(W, G)$.*
- (3) *Every extension with the abstract kernel φ can be mapped into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.*

The vanishing of $H^2(Q; \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G)))$ only says that there is at most one extension of $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ by Q for a given abstract kernel. This alone is not necessarily enough to guarantee that the condition (11) is automatically satisfied because the coefficient groups G and $\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ have distinct “operator groups”. On the other hand, we have the following important observation.

Theorem 4.2.3. *If $\tilde{\varphi}_G : Q \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} \text{Aut}(\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{M}(W, G))$ is a homomorphism, and $H^2(Q; \text{M}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))) = 0$, then θ exists as in Proposition 4.2.1, and consequently condition (11) is satisfied.*

Proof. Let

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be the pullback of $1 \longrightarrow \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) \longrightarrow \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{E} = (\text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)) \times_{(h, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$$

for some maps $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G))$ and $h : Q \times Q \rightarrow M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\beta) = \mu(h(\alpha, \beta)) \circ \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha\beta)$$

$$h(\alpha, 1) = 1 = h(1, \beta)$$

$$h(\alpha, \beta) \cdot h(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)(h(\beta, \gamma)) \cdot h(\alpha, \beta\gamma)$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Q$. Note that the pair $(h, \tilde{\varphi})$ is not unique. (In fact, each $\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha)$ can change by conjugation by an element of $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$, and h changes accordingly). The map $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces two maps $\tilde{\varphi}_G : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_C : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M(W, G))$. The second map in the definition of $\tilde{\varphi}_C$ is obtained as follows. Since $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) = \ell(G) \times_{\mathcal{Z}(G)} M(W, G)$, and $\ell(G)$ is normal in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, an automorphism of $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ leaving $\ell(G)$ invariant induces an automorphism of $M(W, G)$. Also $\tilde{\varphi}_G$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$.

Now let

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

be any extension with abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$. Then there exists a map $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ such that $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi}_G)} Q$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\varphi}_G(\alpha) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_G(\beta) = \mu(f(\alpha, \beta)) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_G(\alpha\beta)$$

$$f(\alpha, 1) = 1 = f(1, \beta)$$

$$f(\alpha, \beta) \cdot f(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{\varphi}_G(\alpha)(f(\beta, \gamma)) \cdot f(\alpha, \beta\gamma)$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Q$. Let us examine the first equality. This equality holds in the group $\text{Aut}(G)$. Now $f(\alpha, \beta) \in \ell(G)$ and $M(W, G) = C$ centralizes $\ell(G)$. Hence $\mu(f(\alpha, \beta))$ on C is trivial. If we replace $\tilde{\varphi}_G$ in the first equation by $\tilde{\varphi}_C$, then the equation is satisfied on $M(W, G)$ in $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ because $\mu(f(\alpha, \beta))$ is trivial and $\tilde{\varphi}_C$ is a homomorphism. Since $\ell(G)$ and $M(W, G)$ generate $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$, the first equality still holds in $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ if we replace $\tilde{\varphi}_G$ with $\tilde{\varphi}$. Because $\tilde{\varphi}|_{\ell(G)}(\alpha)(\ell(g)) = \ell(\tilde{\varphi}_G(\alpha)(g))$, the remaining equalities hold inside $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ if we interpret $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow G$ as $f : Q \times Q \rightarrow \ell(G) \subset M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$. Consequently, $E = G \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi}_G)} Q$ is sitting in $\mathcal{E}' = (M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)) \times_{(f, \tilde{\varphi})} Q$.

Now, if $H^2(Q; M(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))) = 0$, the extensions $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ are congruent, and we have a desired homomorphism $E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. This theorem will be used to prove Theorem 4.3.2.

4.3. Construction with $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.

4.3.1. We shall say a discrete group Γ is *special* if Γ is isomorphic to a lattice in any one of the following Lie groups G :

- (S1) $\mathbb{R}^k$ for some $k > 0$,
- (S2) a simply connected nilpotent Lie group,
- (S3) a simply connected completely solvable Lie group; that is, for each $X \in \mathcal{G}$, the Lie algebra of G , $\text{ad}(X) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ has only real eigenvalues.
- (S4) a semi-simple centerless Lie group without any normal compact factors and if G contains any 3-dimensional factors (i.e., $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$), then the projection of the lattice to each of these factors is dense.

We shall also call the Lie group G *special*. Such groups possess ULIEP (Unique Lattice Isomorphism Extension Property). That is, any isomorphism between such lattices extends uniquely to an isomorphism of G . Even more generally, if Γ_i is a lattice in a special G_i ($i = 1, 2$), then any isomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\Psi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$.

Notice that: type (S1) $\implies$ type (S2) $\implies$ type (S3).

The following is the main construction. Its proof is deferred until section 4.5.

Theorem 4.3.2 ([11],[25],[53], [30]). *Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a special lattice. Let $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ be a properly discontinuous action. Then for any extension $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ (with abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$) the following are true:*

- (1) Existence: *There exists a proper action $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \rtimes \text{TOP}(W) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad (12)$$

commutative. For completely solvable G we have in addition:

- (2) Uniqueness: *Congruent extensions are conjugate in $\text{M}(W, G) \subset \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$. More precisely, suppose $\theta_1, \theta_2 : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ are two homomorphisms which fit in diagram (12) with fixed ℓ and $\varphi \times \rho$, then there exists $\lambda \in \text{M}(W, G)$ such that $\theta_2 = \mu(\lambda) \circ \theta_1$.*
- (3) Rigidity: *Suppose $\theta_1, \theta_2 : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ are two homomorphisms which fit in the diagram (12) (possibly with distinct ℓ and ρ). Then there exists $(\lambda, a, h) \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that $\theta_2 = \mu(\lambda, a, h) \circ \theta_1$, provided that $\rho_2 = \mu(h) \circ \rho_1$.*

Uniqueness and rigidity for the semisimple case (S4) will be discussed in sections 4.5.6 and 4.5.7

4.4. The Meaning of Existence, Uniqueness and Rigidity.

4.4.1. (Existence) Suppose there exists $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ making the diagram (12) commutative. Then $\theta(\pi)$ acts properly on $G \times W$. This yields the injective Seifert fibering

$$\ell(\Gamma) \backslash \ell(G) \longrightarrow \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W) \xrightarrow{p} Q \backslash W$$

with the typical fiber $\Gamma \backslash G$ and base $Q \backslash W$. We have explained the properties of such a fibering, and its singular fibers in subsections 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9.

4.4.2. (Uniqueness) Let θ_0, θ_1 be homomorphisms of π into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta_i & & \downarrow \phi \times \rho & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \rtimes \text{TOP}(W) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative. If there exists $\lambda \in M(W, G)$ such that $\theta_1 = \mu(\lambda) \circ \theta_0$, the map $\lambda : W \rightarrow G$ induces a homeomorphism of $G \times W$ by

$$\lambda(x, w) = (x \cdot (\lambda(w))^{-1}, w),$$

see section 2.5. This, in turn, yields a homeomorphism $[\lambda] : \frac{G \times W}{\theta_0(\pi)} \longrightarrow \frac{G \times W}{\theta_1(\pi)}$. As the commuting diagram shows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_0 & = & \frac{G \times W}{\theta_0(\pi)} & \xrightarrow{[\lambda]} & \frac{G \times W}{\theta_1(\pi)} & = & M_1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \rho(Q) \setminus W & \xrightarrow{=} & \rho(Q) \setminus W & & \end{array}$$

the map $[\lambda]$ induces the identity map on the base space. In fact, λ is G -equivariant (that is, it commutes with the left principal G -action). Such spaces M_0 and M_1 are said to be *strictly equivalent*.

When G is special of type (S1), (S2) or (S3); or W is contractible, of type (S4) (see subsection 4.3.1), λ is homotopic to the constant map $e : W \rightarrow G$ (e is the identity element of G). Then the path $\{\lambda_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ gives rise to a continuous family of homomorphisms $\theta_t : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ by

$$\theta_t(\tilde{\alpha}) = \lambda_t \cdot \theta_0(\tilde{\alpha}) \cdot \lambda_t^{-1}$$

for $\tilde{\alpha} \in \pi$, and consequently a continuous family of homeomorphisms

$$[\theta_t] : \frac{G \times W}{\theta_0(\pi)} \longrightarrow \frac{G \times W}{\theta_t(\pi)}.$$

Thus $\frac{G \times W}{\theta_0(\pi)}$ can be deformed to $\frac{G \times W}{\theta_1(\pi)}$ by moving just along the fibers. In fact, the family $\lambda_t : G \times W \rightarrow G \times W$ is G -equivariant. If $\theta_0(\pi)$ commutes with $\ell(G)$, then the deformation $[\theta_t]$ is G -equivariant.

4.4.3. (Rigidity) Let θ_0, θ_1 be homomorphisms of π into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \ell_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_i & & \downarrow \phi_i \times \rho_i \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative. If there exists $(\lambda, a, h) \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that $\theta_1 = \mu(\lambda, a, h) \circ \theta_0$, the map $(\lambda, a, h) : G \times W \rightarrow G \times W$ induces a homeomorphism $[\lambda, a, h]$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_0 & = & \frac{G \times W}{\theta_0(\pi)} & \xrightarrow{[\lambda, a, h]} & \frac{G \times W}{\theta_1(\pi)} & = & M_1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \rho_0(Q) \setminus W & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \rho_1(Q) \setminus W & & \end{array}$$

which preserves the fibers. More precisely, $[\lambda, a, h]$ is defined by

$$[\lambda, a, h]([x, w]) = [(\lambda, a, h)(x, w)] .$$

Since $(\lambda, a, h) \circ \theta_0(\alpha) = \theta_1(\alpha) \circ (\lambda, a, h)$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$, the map $[\lambda, a, h]$ is well-defined. Further, $(\lambda, a, h)(x, w) = (a(x) \cdot \lambda h(w), h(w))$ shows that $[\lambda, a, h]$ is a map from M_0 to M_1 . That is, $[\lambda, a, h]$ is the descent of the weakly equivariant fiber preserving map (λ, a, h) sending G fibers to G fibers. Such spaces M_0 and M_1 are said to be *equivalent*.

The conjugation of θ_0 by (λ, a, h) is called a *Seifert automorphism* of $G \times W$. The induced homeomorphism $[\lambda, a, h] : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ is called a *Seifert equivalence* or *Seifert automorphism*.

If Γ is characteristic in π , any automorphism of π induces an automorphism of Γ and Q . Consequently, if M_0 and M_1 are Seifert fiber spaces modelled on $G \times W$ which have the same fundamental group (or “orbifold fundamental group”) they are equivalent as Seifert fiber spaces, provided that the base spaces are rigidly related. (i.e., there exists $h \in \text{TOP}(W)$ for which $\rho_1 = \mu(h) \circ \rho_0$).

Similarly, if $\tau : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is an isomorphism carrying Γ isomorphically onto Γ' , and inducing an isomorphism $\bar{\tau} : Q \rightarrow Q'$, then the analogous rigidity statement for $M = \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$ and $M' = \theta'(\pi') \backslash (G \times W)$ holds, cf [11, 8.5] and [25, 2.4]. More precisely, if there exists $h \in \text{TOP}(W)$ such that $\mu(h) \circ \rho = \rho' \circ \bar{\tau}$, then there exists $\hat{h} = (\lambda, a, h) \in \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that $\theta' \circ \tau = \mu(\hat{h}) \circ \theta$.

Example 4.4.4 (When W is a point). Suppose $W = \{p\}$, a point. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) &= M(p, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(p)) \\ &= r(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) \\ &= \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Also, note that $M(p, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) = r(G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) = \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$. Since Q acts on W properly, Q must be a finite group.

We assume that $\Gamma \subset G$ is a *special* lattice, and apply Theorem 4.3.2. Then diagram (12) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, since $\ell(\Gamma) \subset \ell(G)$, the above diagram induces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ell(G) & \longrightarrow & \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(G) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism lifting φ . [In particular, this shows that, for special G , the abstract kernel $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$ (with Q finite) lifts to a homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$].

Consequently, $\theta(\pi) \subset \ell(G) \rtimes C$ for a finite subgroup $C \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. This means that there exists a Riemannian metric on G for which $\theta(\pi) \subset \text{Isom}(G)$.

Assume that θ is injective. (Recall that the kernel of θ is finite. Also, note that if π is torsion free, θ is injective.) For $\mathbb{R}^n = G$, $\tilde{\varphi}(Q)$ lies in a compact subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and can be conjugated within $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ to $O(n)$ and consequently, by rigidity, $\theta(\pi)$ is conjugated by an affine diffeomorphism into the group of Euclidean motions $E(n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes O(n)$. An injective Seifert fiber space modelled on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \text{point}$ is a flat manifold if π is torsion free, and a flat orbifold otherwise. Similarly, if G is a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, an injective Seifert fiber space modelled on $G \times \text{point}$ is an infra-nilmanifold if π is torsion free, and an infranil-orbifold otherwise. (Moreover, in both cases, each such manifold (or orbifold) must arise in this fashion.) Since W is a point, the rigidity on W always holds, and by the rigidity theorem, any two infra- G -manifolds modelled on special G with isomorphic fundamental groups are “affinely” diffeomorphic. (Recall that

$\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ reduces to $G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ in this case.) This generalizes the classical result of Bieberbach's from crystallographic groups to almost crystallographic groups in the nilpotent case.

4.5. Proofs of Theorem 4.3.2. There are two steps. First we map π into an extension E of G by Q , and second we map E into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$.

4.5.1. Every special Lie group G has the ULIEP. For every G with ULIEP, let $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ be an extension which represents $f \in \text{Opext}(\Gamma, Q, \tilde{\varphi})$ satisfying (2), (3) and (4) of section 3.2. One can form a pushout by the inclusion $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow G$ to obtain $E = G\pi$. See subsection 3.3.1. Then $f \in \text{Opext}(G, Q, \tilde{\varphi})$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E = G\pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

4.5.2. Now in order to map E into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, we apply Proposition 4.2.1 for the cases (S1), (S2), and (S3). These cases depend upon the abelian case whose proof we give in complete detail. When $G = \mathbb{R}^k$ is abelian, the existence of λ in the equality (11)

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = (\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta) \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}) \cdot \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$

means that $[f]$ will have to be 0 in $H^2(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k))$. The following Lemma takes care of this problem:

Lemma 4.5.3 ([11, Lemma 8.4] and [12, Theorem 7.1]). *Let $\rho : Q \rightarrow W$ be a properly discontinuous action with $Q \backslash W$ paracompact. For any homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$, and an action of Q on $M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)$ by $\alpha \cdot \lambda = \varphi(\alpha) \circ \lambda \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}$, $H^i(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. We shall prove the lemma, as was done in [11], for $Q \backslash W$ compact. For the general case we refer the reader to [12]. Let U_x be a neighborhood of $x \in W$ with the property that $Q_x U_x = U_x$ and such that if $U_x \cap \alpha U_x \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha \in Q_x$. Let $V_x = Q U_x$. Take $M(V_x) \subset M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)$ to be the submodule of all maps with support in V_x . Similarly, $M(U_x) \subset M(V_x) \subset M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)$ is the subspace of all maps with support in U_x , and $M(U_x)$ is a Q_x -module. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}Q_x}(\mathbb{Z}Q; M(U_x)) \approx M(V_x)$$

because $\mathbb{Z}Q$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}Q_x$ module with a basis given by choosing coset representatives. Using Shapiro's lemma, it follows that

$$H^*(Q_x; M(U_x)) \approx H^*(Q; M(V_x)).$$

(See, e.g., [10, Chapter X, Proposition 7.4] or [8, Chapter III 5.8 and 6.2]). Now $H^i(Q_x; M(U_x)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, because Q_x is a finite group and $M(U_x)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

If $y \notin Q(x)$, then neighborhoods U_x and U'_y can be chosen so that $V_x \cap U'_y = \emptyset$ because Q acts properly discontinuously on W . This insures that $Q \backslash W$ is Hausdorff (and completely regular). Because $Q \backslash W$ is compact, there exists a finite set of

points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ with neighborhoods $U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, \dots, U_{x_n}$ as above whose images, $V_{x_1}^*, V_{x_2}^*, \dots, V_{x_n}^*$ in $Q \setminus W$ cover $Q \setminus W$. Take a partition of unity subordinate to this open covering. By composing each partition function with the quotient map $W \xrightarrow{p} Q \setminus W$, we get a partition of unity $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$ on W subordinate to $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$, where $V_i = p^{-1}(V_{x_i}^*) = Q_{x_i}(U_{x_i})$. Each partition function satisfies $\epsilon_j(\alpha w) = \epsilon_j(w)$, for all $\alpha \in Q$, $w \in W$. Now multiplication by ϵ_j is a Q -module homomorphism of $M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)$ into $M(V_j)$. Consequently, there is induced homomorphisms ϵ_{j*} and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{j*}} & H^*(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)) \\ \downarrow \epsilon_{j*} & & \uparrow i_* \\ H^*(Q; M(V_j)) & \xrightarrow{=} & H^*(Q; M(V_j)) \end{array} \quad (13)$$

with $\epsilon_{1*} + \epsilon_{2*} + \dots + \epsilon_{n*} = \text{identity}$. This yields the lemma. $\square$

4.5.4. For a nilpotent Lie group G , one shows that the equation

$$f(\alpha, \beta) = (\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) \circ \lambda(\beta) \circ \rho(\alpha)^{-1}) \cdot \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \lambda(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$$

has a solution for λ . One reduces this problem to iterated applications of $H^2(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)) = 0$ to guarantee the existence while $H^1(Q; M(W, \mathbb{R}^k)) = 0$ for the uniqueness. The readers are referred to [25] for the details in the nilpotent case.

4.5.5. Theorem 4.2.3 is used to prove the existence in the completely solvable and type (S4) cases as well as providing a different procedure to that used in [25] for the nilpotent case. Details can be found in [41, Theorem 3]. The uniqueness for the completely solvable case is not given in [41] but it can be obtained inductively from the nilpotent case.

Rigidity in the abelian case was first proved by a cohomological argument, [11, §8.5]. In the nilpotent case, rigidity follows from uniqueness and ULIEP, [25, §2.4]. In fact, the same argument works for completely solvable G .

4.5.6. Suppose $\text{Aut}(G)$ splits as $\text{Inn}(G) \rtimes \text{Out}(G)$, and $\mathcal{Z}(G) = 0$ (for example, G of type (S4)). If we replace $M(W, G)$ by $r(G)$ and $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ by $r(G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)) \subset \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, then a homomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow r(G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W))$ exists (with $\theta|_G = \ell$ on G) by Theorem 4.2.3. Thus E maps into

$$r(G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)) = (\ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)) \times \text{TOP}(W).$$

This induces two homomorphisms $E \rightarrow \ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ and $E \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$. Consequently, the action of E on $G \times W$ is diagonal.

Note that uniqueness does not hold in $(\ell(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)) \times \text{TOP}(W)$ for $\Gamma \times Q$. The different strict equivalence classes are in 1-1 correspondence with $H^1(Q; r(G)) =$ conjugacy classes of representations of Q into $r(G)$.

4.5.7. In [53], yet another construction is given in the (S4) case. Instead of $G \times W$ being the uniformizing space, $G/K \times W$ is chosen, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Consequently, G/K is a simply connected symmetric space. The typical fiber becomes the locally symmetric space $F = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ and singular fiber is the quotient of F by a *finite group of isometries*. The space E has a finite covering E' (perhaps branched) where E' is $F \times (Q' \backslash W)$ and Q' is the kernel of

$Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Gamma)$. Since $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is finite, Q' has finite index in Q and the finite group Q/Q' acts diagonally on $F \times (Q' \backslash W)$.

In [36], this is explained in more detail by showing that the uniformizing group $\text{TOP}_{(G,K)}(G/K \times W)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Isom}(G/K) \times \text{TOP}(W)$. In this context, existence, uniqueness and rigidity are all shown to be valid. Typical fibers which are locally symmetric spaces have a great deal of geometric interest and the uniqueness and rigidity of this modified construction has significant applications. When modeling on $G/K \times W$ instead of $G \times W$ we shall call this the modified injective Seifert Construction of type (S4).

4.5.8. Now we stack the two constructions together to obtain a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \ell \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.2.

Q.E.D.

4.6. Smooth Case. If W is a smooth manifold and Q acts on it smoothly so that $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$, then the construction can be done smoothly. The universal group is now $\mathcal{C}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Diff}(W))$ which is certainly the subgroup of weakly G -equivariant diffeomorphisms of $G \times W$. The same proof as for $M(W, G)$ works in proving $H^i(Q; \mathcal{C}(W, \mathcal{Z}(G))) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$ in the case of G completely solvable. We use this fact by simply referring to the “smooth Seifert fiber space construction”.

If W is a complex manifold and Q acts holomorphically, then one can also ask whether the construction can be done holomorphically on $\mathbb{C}^k \times W$. There are two types of obstructions. It could happen that the necessary $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle over W , while trivial as a smooth bundle, may not be trivial as a holomorphic bundle. A more serious matter is that $H^2(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^k))$, where $\mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^k)$ denotes holomorphic maps, does not necessarily vanish and so not every smooth realization has a holomorphic one. Even when the first difficulty does not arise, the latter one may still persist. The solution to these problems and the corresponding theory is carefully worked out in [12]. One particular feature of the extended theory is that the uniformizing space need no longer be a product $G \times W$ but may be any principal G -bundle over W . See [41] for Seifert fibered spaces modelled on principal bundles and 6.2 for an illustration of the holomorphic theory.

Another general approach to Seifert fiber spaces is due to A. Holmann [20]. His procedure extends the classical fiber bundle methods.

5. APPLICATIONS

5.1. Introduction. The Seifert Construction, which is a special embedding, $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, of the group π into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ such that π acts properly on $G \times W$, preserves some of the properties of both G and W on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$. Furthermore, the action of π on $G \times W$ “twists” the topology and geometry of G and W to create the orbit space $\theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$ in the same way that the group

structures of Γ and Q “twist” to create the group π . In other words, this algebraic twisting of π makes the geometric twisting of the “bundle with singularities”

$$\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W) \rightarrow Q \backslash W,$$

where the homogeneous space $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a typical fiber. In the several applications, we have selected to include here this features seems especially prominent.

One of the important geometric problems that has motivated the development of Seifert fiberings is the construction of closed aspherical manifolds realizing Poincaré duality groups π of the form $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$. The Seifert Construction enables one to find explicit aspherical manifolds $M(\pi)$ when Q acts on a contractible manifold W and Γ is a torsion free lattice in a Lie group.

For a topological manifold, the homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences can be regarded as algebraic data. We shall show how the Seifert Construction can be used to lift finite subgroups of homotopy classes to an action on the manifold.

The final illustration is a description of the classical 3-dimensional Seifert manifolds and how they fit into our scheme of general Seifert fiberings. This description will also be useful for the final section.

5.2. Existence of Closed Smooth $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifolds. There are two difficult problems related to the title. They are:

- Which groups can be the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold? and
- If π is the fundamental group of an aspherical manifold, can we give an actual explicit construction of an aspherical manifold for the group π ?

There are some general criteria for the first problem such as π must be finitely presented, have finite cohomological dimension and satisfy Poincaré duality in that dimension. The Seifert Construction gives answers to both questions for large classes of groups π . The idea is that if $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ is a torsion free extension where Γ is the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold and Q is a group acting properly on a contractible manifold W with compact quotient, then π should be the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold. We have the following

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let Γ be a cocompact special lattice in G (see subsection 4.3.1) and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ be a properly discontinuous action on a contractible manifold W with compact quotient. If $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ is a torsion free extension of Γ by Q , then for any Seifert Construction $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$,*

- (1) $M(\theta(\pi)) = \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$ is a closed aspherical manifold if Γ is of type (S1), (S2) or (S3),
- (2) $M(\theta(\pi)) = \theta(\pi) \backslash ((G/K) \times W)$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G , is a closed aspherical manifold if Γ is of type (S4).

Proof. We know from Theorem 4.3.2 that for each extension $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, there exists a homomorphism of π into $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ (resp., $\text{TOP}_{(G,K)}(G/K \times W)$ in the case of type (S4), see [36] for this notation). We need only to check that this homomorphism is injective. Suppose Q_0 is the kernel of $\phi \times \rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W)$. Then Q_0 is finite since the Q action on W is properly discontinuous. Let $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow 1$ be the pullback via $Q_0 \subset Q$. The group E is torsion free since π is assumed to be torsion free. The restriction $\theta|_E$ defines an action of E on $G \times W$ (resp., $G/K \times W$). Since Γ is of finite index in E , no non-trivial element of E can fix $G \times W$ (resp., $G/K \times W$). For if it did, then some power

would be a non-trivial element of Γ which does not fix G (resp., G/K). Therefore, θ is injective; $\theta(\pi)$ acts properly and freely since it is torsion free. $\square$

Remark 5.2.2. 1. If W is a smooth contractible manifold and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$, then the construction can be done smoothly and $M(\theta(\pi))$ is smooth.

2. If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are rigidly related (i.e., there exists $h \in \text{TOP}(W)$ for which $\rho_2 = \mu(h) \circ \rho_1$) and Γ is characteristic in π , then $M(\theta_1(\pi))$ and $M(\theta_2(\pi))$ are homeomorphic via a Seifert automorphism. Moreover, if we fix ℓ and ρ , then the constructed $M(\theta(\pi))$ are all strictly equivalent.

3. When $W = \{p\}$ is a point (a 0-dimensional contractible manifold), then Q must be finite for Q to act properly discontinuously, and every $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(\{p\})$ is rigidly related. The closed aspherical manifolds constructed are infra- G -manifolds. cf. Example 4.4.4.

4. One important application of these constructions is that they provide model aspherical manifolds with often strong geometric properties. If one wants to study the famous conjecture that two closed aspherical manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups are homeomorphic via the methods of controlled surgery, then the constructed aspherical Seifert manifolds are excellent model manifolds.

The above procedure can be extended for even more general extensions. As an example,

Theorem 5.2.3. *Let π be a torsion-free extension of a virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ group Γ by Q , where Q acts on a contractible manifold W properly discontinuously with compact quotient. Then there exists a closed $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold.*

Proof. A torsion-free virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ group Γ has a unique maximal normal nilpotent subgroup Δ , which is called the *discrete nilradical* of Γ . See [2]. Then the quotient Γ/Δ is virtually free abelian of finite rank. Furthermore, since Δ is a characteristic subgroup of Γ , it is normal in π . Consider the commuting diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \Delta & \xrightarrow{=} & \Delta & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma/\Delta & \longrightarrow & \pi/\Delta & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Since Γ/Δ is virtually free abelian of finite rank (say of s), it contains a characteristic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^s$. Let $Q' = (\pi/\Delta)/\mathbb{Z}^s$. Then the natural projection $Q' \rightarrow Q$ has a finite kernel. Therefore, if we let Q' act on W via Q , the action will still be properly discontinuous.

One can do a Seifert fiber space construction with the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s \longrightarrow \pi/\Delta \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow 1$$

which yields a properly discontinuous action of π/Δ on $\mathbb{R}^s \times W$ with compact quotient. Using this action of π/Δ on $\mathbb{R}^s \times W$, one does a Seifert fiber space construction with the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \pi/\Delta \longrightarrow 1.$$

This gives rise to a properly discontinuous action of π on $N \times (\mathbb{R}^s \times W)$, where N is the unique simply connected nilpotent Lie group containing Δ as a lattice, with compact quotient.

If the space W is smooth, and the action of Q on W is smooth, both constructions can be done smoothly so that the properly discontinuous action of π on $N \times (\mathbb{R}^s \times W)$ is smooth.

In any case, since the group π is torsion free, the resulting action of π on $N \times (\mathbb{R}^s \times W)$ is free. Consequently, we get a closed $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold

$$M = \pi \backslash (N \times \mathbb{R}^s \times W).$$

It has a Seifert fiber structure

$$F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q \backslash W$$

where the typical fiber F itself has a Seifert fiber structure

$$\Delta \backslash N \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow T^s = \mathbb{Z}^s \backslash \mathbb{R}^s.$$

In fact, since the action of the characteristic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^s$ on $\mathbb{R}^s$ is free, F is a genuine fiber bundle, with fiber a nilmanifold $\Delta \backslash N$ over the base torus T^s . $\square$

The space W does not have to be aspherical. As far as the action of Q is properly discontinuous, the construction works. The resulting action of π is free if and only if the pre-image of Q_w (the stabilizer of the Q action at each $w \in W$) in π is torsion free. In this case, the space $\pi \backslash (G \times W)$ will not be aspherical. See 4.3.2.

5.3. When is θ injective? Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \varphi \times \rho & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \rtimes \text{TOP}(W) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

be a Seifert construction. The argument as mentioned just above also shows that the action of π on a general $G \times W$ will be free (and hence θ is injective) if the pre-image of Q_w (the stabilizer of the Q action at each $w \in W$) in π is torsion free. Of course θ may be injective, that is, π acts effectively on $G \times W$, without necessarily acting freely.

We determine when the homomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ has trivial kernel for special lattices Γ in G of types (S1), (S2) or (S3) in subsection 4.3.1.

Proposition 5.3.1. *θ is injective and $\theta(\pi) \cap \ell(G) = \theta(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\varphi \times \rho$ is injective.*

Proof. If $\varphi \times \rho$ is injective, then clearly θ is injective and $\theta(\pi) \cap \ell(G) = \theta(\Gamma)$. Now assume that θ is injective. Let K be the kernel of $\varphi \times \rho$, and $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow E \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$ the pullback via $K \subset Q$. Since K acts trivially on W , it is finite and E must map injectively into $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) = \ell(G) \times_{\mathcal{Z}(G)} M(W, G)$. The group K maps injectively into $M(W, G)/\mathcal{Z}(G)$ by the natural projection $\ell(G) \times_{\mathcal{Z}(G)} M(W, G) \rightarrow M(W, G)/\mathcal{Z}(G)$, because we hypothesized that $\theta(\pi) \cap \ell(G) = \theta(\Gamma)$. We complete the argument by showing $M(W, G)/\mathcal{Z}(G)$ has no non-trivial elements of finite order.

This follows from the fact that the exponential map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ is bijective for our special G . Therefore the equation $x^n = a$ in G has a unique solution for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $a \in G$. So if $f \in M(W, G)$ represents an element of K in $M(W, G)/\mathcal{Z}(G)$, then $f^n \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, where n is the order of f . Therefore, $f^n(w) = a$, for some $a \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, and all $w \in W$. But there exists a unique $x \in G$ such that $f(w) = x$. Now, $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ is $\mathbb{R}^k$ for some k , and each $a \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ has a unique n th root in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, $x \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Since f has order n , n must be 1 and $\Gamma = E$. $\square$

5.4. Rigidity of infra-homogeneous spaces. Recall from 2.9 the following definitions. Let G be a connected Lie group. A quotient of G by a lattice Γ is called a *homogeneous space*. More generally, let $\text{Aff}(G) = G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ act on G by

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot x = a \cdot \alpha(x).$$

An *infra-homogeneous space* is a quotient of G by a group $\pi \subset \text{Aff}(G)$, acting properly discontinuously and freely, such that $\Gamma = \pi \cap G$ is a uniform lattice of G and Γ has a finite index in π . Therefore, an infra-homogeneous space is finitely covered by a homogeneous space. For example, a flat Riemannian manifold may be called an “infra-torus”. To emphasize G , sometimes we use the term *infra- G -manifold* for an infra-homogeneous space.

Now we explain a little more detail for the claims made in Example 4.4.4. Let Δ_i be a special lattice of type (S1), (S2) or (S3). That is,

$$1 \longrightarrow \Delta_i \longrightarrow \pi_i \longrightarrow Q_i \longrightarrow 1$$

is an extension, $\theta_i : \pi_i \rightarrow \text{Aff}(G_i)$, Q_i finite, $\rho_i : Q_i \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_i)$ is injective, and hence by Proposition 5.3.1, $\Delta_i = \theta_i(\pi_i) \cap \ell(G_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $h : \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_2$ is an isomorphism. Then, we claim, Δ_1 is mapped isomorphically onto Δ_2 and hence Q_1 onto Q_2 . This isomorphism extends to an isomorphism of G_1 onto G_2 . Thus we may think of

$$\theta_i : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aff}(G) = \text{TOP}_G(G \times \{p\})$$

such that $\theta_i(\pi) \cap G = \Delta_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Now because $\rho_i : Q_i \rightarrow \{p\}$ is trivial, the embeddings are conjugate by an element of $\text{Aff}(G)$.

It remains to verify that h maps Δ_1 isomorphically onto Δ_2 . It is well known that Δ_i is characteristic in the abelian and nilpotent cases. For a proof of Δ_i being characteristic in the completely solvable case, we refer the reader to [34, Proposition 4.5]. Therefore h maps Δ_1 isomorphically onto Δ_2 .

Instead of appealing to the rigidity theorems for the Seifert Construction as we did above, we shall instead obtain these same extensions of the classical Bieberbach theorems directly. In the next theorem, the calculations involved resemble the proof of uniqueness of the Seifert Construction when W is a point, and show how closely linked the Seifert Construction is to the Bieberbach theorems.

Theorem 5.4.1. *Suppose G has ULIEP (see subsection 4.3.1), and $H^1(\Psi; G)$ (non-abelian cohomology) vanishes for every finite subgroup $\Psi \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. Let $\pi, \pi' \in \text{Aff}(G)$ be finite extensions of lattices in G . Then every isomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is a conjugation by an element of $\text{Aff}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = G \cap \pi$, $\Gamma' = G \cap \pi'$ be the pure translations. Let $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap \theta^{-1}(\Gamma')$. Then $\theta|_{\Lambda} : \Lambda \rightarrow \theta(\Lambda)$ is an isomorphism of lattices of G . By ULIEP, $\theta|_{\Lambda}$ extends

uniquely to an automorphism $C : G \rightarrow G$. Thus, $\theta|_\Lambda = C|_\Lambda$, and hence, $\theta(z, I) = (Cz, I)$ for all $(z, I) \in \Lambda$. Let us denote the composite homomorphism

$$\pi \xrightarrow{\theta} \pi' \hookrightarrow \text{Aff}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$$

by $\bar{\theta}$, where $\text{Aff}(G) = G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ is the projection. Let $\Psi = \pi/\Lambda$. Then clearly, $\bar{\theta}$ factors through Ψ . Define a map $\lambda : \pi \rightarrow G$ by

$$\theta(w, K) = (Cw \cdot \lambda(w, K), \bar{\theta}(w, K)) \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that $\lambda(zw, K) = \lambda(w, K)$ for all $z \in \Lambda$. Therefore, λ is really a map

$$\lambda : \Psi \rightarrow G.$$

For any $(z, I) \in \Lambda$ and $(w, K) \in \pi$, apply θ to both sides of $(w, K)(z, I)(w, K)^{-1} = (w \cdot Kz \cdot w^{-1}, I)$ to get

$$Cw \cdot \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(Cz) \cdot \lambda(w, K)^{-1} \cdot (Cw)^{-1} = \theta(w \cdot Kz \cdot w^{-1}).$$

However, $w \cdot Kz \cdot w^{-1} \in \Lambda$ since Λ is normal in π , and the right hand side equals to $C(w \cdot Kz \cdot w^{-1}) = Cw \cdot CKz \cdot (Cw)^{-1}$ since $C : G \rightarrow G$ is an automorphism. From this, we have

$$\bar{\theta}(w, K)(Cz) = \lambda(w, K)^{-1} \cdot CKz \cdot \lambda(w, K) \quad (2)$$

This is true for all $z \in \Gamma$. Note that $\bar{\theta}(w, K)$, C and K are automorphisms of the Lie group G . By ULIEP of G , the equality (2) holds true for all $z \in G$.

We claim that, with the Ψ -structure on G via $\bar{\theta} : \Psi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$, $\lambda \in Z^1(\Psi; G)$; i.e., $\lambda : \Psi \rightarrow G$ is a crossed homomorphism. We shall show

$$\lambda((w, K) \cdot (w', K')) = \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(\lambda(w', K'))$$

for all $(w, K), (w', K') \in \pi$. (Note that we are using the elements of π to denote the elements of Ψ). Apply θ to both sides of $(w, K)(w', K') = (w \cdot Kw', KK')$ to get $Cw \cdot \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)[Cw' \cdot \lambda(w', K')] = C(w \cdot Kw') \cdot \lambda((w, K)(w', K'))$. From this, it follows that

$$\lambda((w, K)(w', K')) = (CKw')^{-1} \cdot \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(Cw') \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\lambda(w', K').$$

By (2), we have $\bar{\theta}(w, K)(Cw') = \lambda(w, K)^{-1} \cdot CKw' \cdot \lambda(w, K)$ so that $\lambda((w, K) \cdot (w', K')) = \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\lambda(w', K')$. This shows that λ is a crossed homomorphism.

By the assumption, $H^1(\Psi; G)$ vanishes. This means that any crossed homomorphism is “principal”. In other words, there exists $d \in G$ such that

$$\lambda(w, K) = d \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d^{-1}) \quad (3)$$

Let $D = \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C$. We check that θ is the conjugation by $(d, D) = (d, \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C) \in \text{Aff}(G)$. Using (1), (2) and (3), one can show $\bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C = \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C \circ K$. Thus, for any $(w, K) \in \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(w, K) \cdot (d, D) &= (Cw \cdot \lambda(w, K), \bar{\theta}(w, K)) \cdot (d, \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C) \\ &= (Cw \cdot \lambda(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d), \bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C) \\ &= (Cw \cdot d \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d^{-1}) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d), \bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C) \\ &= (Cw \cdot d, \mu(d^{-1}) \circ C \circ K) \\ &= (d, D) \cdot (w, K). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of our claim. $\square$

Corollary 5.4.2. *Suppose G has ULIEP, and $H^1(\Psi; G)$ (non-abelian cohomology) vanishes for every finite subgroup $\Psi \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. Then homotopy equivalent infra- G -manifolds are affinely diffeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $M = \pi \backslash G$, $M' = \pi' \backslash G'$ be infra- G -manifolds. A homotopy equivalence $M \rightarrow M'$ induces an isomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$. Since θ maps some subgroup of $G \cap \pi$ into a lattice of G' , there is an isomorphism of G onto G' . Using this isomorphism, we identify G with G' . Now apply Theorem 5.4.1 to $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ to find an element $h = (d, D) \in \text{Aff}(G)$ which conjugates π onto π' . This gives a weakly equivariant map

$$(h, \mu(h)) : (G, \pi) \rightarrow (G, \pi')$$

and h gives rise to an affine diffeomorphism $M \rightarrow M'$, which is homotopic to the original map. $\square$

Corollary 5.4.3. (1) (Bieberbach) *Homotopy equivalent flat manifolds are affinely diffeomorphic.*
 (2) [40] *Homotopy equivalent infra-nilmanifolds are affinely diffeomorphic.*
 (3) *Homotopy equivalent infra-solvmanifolds of type (R) are affinely diffeomorphic.*

Notice, in particular, that the isomorphism θ maps the lattice $\Gamma = G \cap \pi$ onto $\Gamma' = G \cap \pi'$. This is also true topologically on the orbifold level.

Proof. What is needed for this conclusion is the element $h = (d, D) \in \text{Aff}(G)$ which conjugates π onto π' . We have this element immediately from the rigidity of the Seifert Construction for lattices of type (S1), (S2) and (S3). If we wish to avoid the Seifert Construction, then we need to verify that $H^1(\Psi; G)$ vanishes for each finite subgroup $\Psi \subset \text{Aut}(G)$. This is accomplished in [40] and [34], and well known for G of type (S1). $\square$

5.5. Lifting Problem for Homotopy Classes of Self-Homotopy Equivalences. Let M be an admissible space (see section 2.5) and $\mathcal{E}(M)$ be the H -space of homotopy equivalences of M into itself. Any $f \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ induces an isomorphism $f_* : \pi_1(M, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, f(x))$. By choosing a path ω from x to $f(x)$, we have an automorphism f_*^ω of $\pi_1(M, x)$, defined by $f_*^\omega([\tau]) = [\omega^{-1} \cdot (f \circ \tau) \cdot \omega]$. A different choice of ω alters f_*^ω only by an inner automorphism. Therefore, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathcal{E}(M) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi),$$

$\pi = \pi_1(M, x)$. If M is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space, then $\mathcal{E}_0(M)$ is the kernel of γ so that γ factors through $\pi_0(\mathcal{E}(M)) = \mathcal{E}(M)/\mathcal{E}_0(M)$, where $\mathcal{E}_0(M)$ is the self homotopy equivalences homotopic to the identity. Moreover, γ is onto since every automorphism of π can be realized by a self homotopy equivalence of M .

A homomorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi) \cong \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(M))$ is called an *abstract kernel*. An injective abstract kernel is the same as a subgroup of homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences of M . A *lifting* of φ as a group of homeomorphisms is a homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : F \rightarrow \text{TOP}(M)$ which makes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{=} & F \\ \downarrow \hat{\varphi} & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \text{TOP}(M) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(M) \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(M)) \end{array}$$

commutative.

Suppose F acts on M . Let F^* be the group of all liftings of elements of F to the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$. Then

$$1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$$

is exact, and is called the *lifting sequence* of the action (F, M) . Furthermore, for an aspherical M , if (F, M) is effective, then *the centralizer of π in F^* , $C_{F^*}(\pi)$, is torsion-free* (such an extension was called *admissible* in [38]); and F^* lies in $\text{TOP}(\widetilde{M})$.

Thus we have a necessary condition for the existence of a lifting of an abstract kernel $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$, as an (effective, resp.) group action: the existence of an (admissible, resp.) group extension of π by F realizing the abstract kernel. For finite groups, this necessary condition is also sufficient for some tractable manifolds.

No examples of closed aspherical manifolds are yet known where the existence of a group extension does not also yield a group action.

Definition 5.5.1. Let Q act properly on an admissible space W (see section 2.5) and B be the quotient $Q \backslash W$. Suppose for each extension $1 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ by a finite group F , the action of Q extends to a proper action of E on W . Then we say that the Q action on W is *finitely extendable*. In particular, then F acts on B preserving the orbit structure.

If Γ is normal in π , let $\text{Aut}(\pi, \Gamma)$ denote the automorphisms of π that leave Γ invariant. Since $\text{Inn}(\pi)$ leaves Γ invariant, we can put $\text{Aut}(\pi, \Gamma)/\text{Inn}(\pi) = \text{Out}(\pi, \Gamma)$. It is a subgroup of $\text{Out}(\pi)$. See notation in section 1.

Let $M = \pi \backslash \widetilde{M}$ be an orbifold (with the orbifold group π). Call a finite abstract kernel $F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$ *geometrically realizable* if it can be realized as an action of F on M .

We are interested in realizing a finite abstract kernel $F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$ as a group action on a Seifert fiber space M with a typical fiber $\Gamma \backslash G$. In particular, we want the F action to be fiber-preserving maps. This means that, on the group level, the extension must leave the lattice Γ invariant. In other words, we consider only those abstract kernels which have images in $\text{Out}(\pi, \Gamma)$.

Theorem 5.5.2. *Let Γ be a lattice in the special Lie group G (see subsection 4.3.1), and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ be a proper action on W which is finitely extendable. Let $1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ be an extension and $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ be any homomorphism. Then each abstract kernel $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi, \Gamma)$ of a finite group F can be geometrically realized as a group of Seifert automorphisms on $M(\theta(\pi)) = \theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$ if and only if the abstract kernel φ admits some extension.*

Proof. Let $1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ be an extension realizing the abstract kernel φ . Consider the induced extension

$$1 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow E/\Gamma \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since ρ is finitely extendable, there exists $\rho' : E/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ extending $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$. We have the commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 1 & & 1 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E/\Gamma & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & F & \xrightarrow{=} & F & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 1 & & 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Again by the existence theorem for special lattices, Theorem 4.3.2, there exists $\theta' : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, where $\theta'|_\Gamma = \theta|_\Gamma = i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow G$, and $\rho'|_Q = \rho$. Put $\theta'|_\pi = \theta'$. Of course, θ' may be different from θ , but as θ and θ' agree on Γ and Q , we can apply Theorem 4.3.2 (2) to conjugate $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ by an element of $M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G)$ which carries $\theta'|_\pi$ to θ so that the new homomorphism $\theta' : E \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is an extension of $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$. This yields an action of F on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$ as a group of Seifert automorphism as desired. $\square$

Since we used the uniqueness of the Seifert Construction, we use the modified Seifert Construction modelled on $G/K \times W$ instead of $G \times W$ in the semi-simple case.

Corollary 5.5.3. *Let $M(\pi)$ be an infra- G -manifold with G special, and with fundamental group π . Suppose $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$ is an abstract kernel. Then there exists a geometric realization of F acting on $M(\pi)$ by affine diffeomorphisms if and only if there is an extension E of π by F which realizes this abstract kernel.*

Proof. The infra- G -manifold $M(\pi)$ is modelled on $G \times \{p\}$ ($p = \text{point}$) ($G/K \times \{p\}$ for a convenient form of G in the semi-simple case) and $\text{TOP}_G(G \times \{p\}) = \text{Aff}(G)$ (resp. $\overline{\text{Aff}}(G, K)$), see [36] for this notation. Trivially, every $Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(\{p\})$ extends to $E/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{TOP}(\{p\})$. The above theorem then immediately applies, and F acts on $M(\pi)$ by Seifert automorphisms which are affine diffeomorphisms. $\square$

Remark 5.5.4. 1. Since we may introduce a metric structure in the Corollary from a left invariant metric on G (see section 2.9), $M(\pi)$ has the structure of a flat, almost flat, Riemannian infra-solvmanifold or a locally symmetric spaces. We may also further conjugate $\theta(\pi)$ in $\text{Aff}(G)$ so that F now acts on the conjugated manifold by isometries preserving the flat, etc, structures.

2. The proper action of π in the Theorem is not necessarily free nor effective. Thus $M(\pi)$ could very well be a Seifert orbifold. The Corollary then works for such orbifolds, i.e., infra- G -spaces. In the Euclidean case, $M(\pi)$ would then be a Euclidean “crystal” and π a Euclidean crystallographic group. In Theorem 5.5.2, F sends fibers to fibers.

For more about the realizations up to strict equivalences, and finding examples where F does not lift because there are no extensions realizing the abstract kernels, the reader is referred to [25], [36], [38], [63], [35], [52], [31], [32], [21], [58] and [51].

5.6. Seifert fiberings with codimension 2 fibers.

5.6.1. There is a class of Seifert fiberings which are very close generalizations of the classical Seifert 3-manifolds. Let the discrete group Q act effectively and properly on the topological plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then Q can be conjugated in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to be a group acting as isometries on the hyperbolic plane or the Euclidean plane. In the first case, call Q hyperbolic, and in the latter Euclidean. If Q preserves orientation, then Q can be conjugated to act as holomorphic actions on $\mathbb{C}$ or the unit disk D . This latter fact, using standard techniques in transformation group theory, uniformization and topology of 2-manifolds, is not very difficult to prove. (Certainly, if Q acts simplicially, the arguments are not hard). When the orbit space $Q \backslash \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact, then a theorem, essentially due to Nielsen, says that any two Q actions are topologically conjugate. If the actions are assumed smooth, then they are smoothly conjugate. In the non-compact case, there are similar results but they can be very complicated if Q is not finitely presentable. So for our next example, we restrict to Q for which $Q \backslash \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact. Since $Q \backslash \mathbb{R}^2$ will have the structure of an orbifold, Q will either be isomorphic to a Euclidean crystallographic group or a cocompact hyperbolic group. In the Euclidean case, these are the 17 wall-paper groups. They are centerless except for $Q = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (the fundamental group of the Klein bottle). In the hyperbolic case, all the groups are not solvable, and have no non-trivial normal abelian subgroups.

5.6.2. An important class of closed 3-manifolds are those closed 3-manifolds whose fundamental group contains a normal subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$. This class contains all the classical Seifert 3-manifolds with infinite fundamental group as well as some other non-orientable manifolds such as closed 3-manifolds admitting a circle action without fixed points but having 2-dimensional submanifolds whose stabilizer is $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The orientable ones, however, coincide with the classical orientable Seifert 3-manifolds with infinite fundamental group as defined by Seifert in [59]. Of course, these statements are to be taken modulo the Poincaré Conjecture, for if there were a fake 3-sphere Σ , then $M \sharp \Sigma$, where M is a Seifert manifold, would not be a Seifert manifold but would still have a normal $\mathbb{Z}$ in its fundamental group. In any case, a closed 3-manifold whose fundamental group contains a normal $\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup, has, modulo the Poincaré Conjecture, an orientable cover admitting an injective S^1 -action. Consequently, they all have a universal cover that splits into $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ or $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$, where the $\mathbb{R}$ descends to the fibers associated with the normal $\mathbb{Z}$. In the $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ case, the manifolds have an orientable cover isomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$. Thus, their fundamental groups are just finite extensions of $\mathbb{Z}$. We are interested in those that are not finite extensions of $\mathbb{Z}$. They are all aspherical since they are covered by $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Therefore, for each extension, $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, where $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an effective proper action on $\mathbb{R}^2$, we may construct model Seifert manifolds. In fact, as ρ is topologically rigid, we can assume that after conjugating in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, ρ maps Q into either $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$ or $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, the isometries of the Euclidean plane or the hyperbolic plane. In this case, as we shall see in section 6.3, the constructed Seifert manifolds possess a geometric structure inherited from a subgroup $\mathcal{U}$ of $\text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ into which $\pi_1(M)$ is embedded. The group π acts freely on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ if and only if π is torsion free. Otherwise, the map $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a non-trivially branched covering and the

underlying topological space of the 3-dimensional orbifold, which is still a 3-manifold with possible boundary, may not even be aspherical (see the next section). To obtain all possible orbifolds, we have to enlarge Q to Q' , where Q is of index 2 in Q' and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in Q' does not act effectively, (it injects into $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$). That is, $\varphi \times \rho : Q' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ will be injective.

Obviously the existence of the Seifert Construction for every torsion free extension $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ produces all the known aspherical 3-manifolds with a normal $\mathbb{Z}$ in their fundamental group. Furthermore, rigidity of the construction, since $\mathbb{Z}$ will almost always be characteristic, says that any two constructed manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups are diffeomorphic. In fact, except for several exceptions (less than 10), the isomorphisms must be given by a Seifert automorphism. (The exceptions can occur for the 3-torus, for example, where the $\mathbb{Z}$ of the fiber is not the only possible normal $\mathbb{Z}$). That no other manifolds are possible except those given by the Seifert Construction follows from results of Waldhausen in the Haken case. For non-Haken manifolds, the result is more recent and is due to Scott, Casson and others.

The rigidity result is also true in the orbifold case. For example, if Q is *orientation-preserving* and $Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, then the $\mathbb{R}$ action descends to an S^1 -action on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. The group $\mathbb{Z}$ is the maximal normal abelian subgroup of π in case Q is hyperbolic since Q has no normal abelian subgroups. In the Euclidean crystallographic case where $Q \neq \mathbb{Z}^2$, Q is centerless and so $\mathbb{Z}$ will be the entire center of π and so is characteristic. For $Q = \mathbb{Z}^2$, the π are torsion free and are distinguished by $H_1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2 + \mathbb{Z}_b$. So, except for 3-torus, *the rigidity theorem for the Seifert Construction implies two such oriented orbifolds with isomorphic orbifold groups will be diffeomorphic via an S^1 -equivariant orientation-preserving homeomorphism.*

Theorem 5.6.3 (Higher dimensional fibers). *Let Q be hyperbolic and act properly on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact quotient. Let Γ be a special lattice of type (S1), (S2) or (S3) (see subsection 4.3.1), and*

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be an extension. Then there exists a homomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ unique up to conjugation. π acts freely if and only if π is torsion free and, consequently, $M(\theta(\pi))$ will then be aspherical. In general, $M(\theta_1(\pi_1))$ is homeomorphic via a Seifert automorphism to $M(\theta_2(\pi_2))$ if and only if π_1 is isomorphic to π_2 .

Proof. We know θ exists by the existence theorem for special lattices. Since Q has no non-trivial normal solvable subgroups, and G is solvable, $\pi \cap G = \Gamma$. Therefore, Γ is the maximal normal solvable subgroup in π and consequently is characteristic. Since $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is rigid, the Seifert Construction is rigid and the theorem follows. (cf. [12, section 12]). $\square$

Nicas and Stark in [46], using controlled surgery, have shown that any closed aspherical topological manifold whose fundamental group is a central extension of Z^s by a 2-dimensional orientation preserving cocompact hyperbolic Q is homeomorphic to the corresponding Seifert manifold constructed in Theorem 5.6.3, provided the dimension of the manifold is greater than 4.

Corollary 5.6.4. *Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$ be an abstract kernel, where F is finite and π is as in the above theorem. Then φ has a geometric realization of an action of F as a group of Seifert automorphism on $M(\theta(\pi))$ if and only if there exists some extension E of π which realizes the abstract kernel.*

Proof. The extension E induces a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow E/\Gamma \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$. S. Kerckhoff [22] has shown, in his solution to the Nielsen Realization Problem, that a finite extension of a hyperbolic Q with an injective abstract kernel is again a hyperbolic group. If K denotes the kernel of the abstract kernel $F \rightarrow \text{Out}(Q)$, then $(E/\Gamma)/K$ is a hyperbolic group containing a topological conjugate, in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, of Q . Then E/Γ , via $E/\Gamma \rightarrow (E/\Gamma)/K$, acts properly on $\mathbb{H}$ and (up to conjugation in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$) extends the Q action. Therefore Theorem 5.5.2 applies. $\square$

5.7. The classical Seifert 3-dimensional manifolds. In a classical paper [59] in 1933, H. Seifert described a class of 3-dimensional manifolds that have turned out to be fundamental for the topology of 3-manifolds. We assume the reader has some familiarity with these manifolds.

In the closed orientable (resp. non-orientable) case Seifert's manifolds whose fundamental groups are infinite, but not a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}$, coincide with (resp. are a subset of) those closed orientable (resp. non-orientable) manifolds, $\mathcal{SF}$, that can be constructed, as in Theorem 4.3.2, from a torsion free extension, $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, with Q acting properly, effectively, and cocompactly on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

It has recently been shown that if there are no fake homotopy 3-spheres, then the closed 3-manifolds, whose fundamental groups contain a normal $\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup and which are not finite extensions of $\mathbb{Z}$, coincide with $\mathcal{SF}$. See section 5.6.2.

6. REDUCTION OF THE UNIVERSAL GROUP

6.1. Purpose and Requirements. In a general Seifert Construction, it may happen that $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ has an image in a subgroup that has geometric or topological significance. This means that it is likely that the associated Seifert Constructions inherit some of these properties. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a subgroup of $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ containing $\ell(G)$. Put $\widehat{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} \cap (M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G))$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}/\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{U}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M(W, G) \rtimes \text{Inn}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G) \times \text{TOP}(W) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \quad (14)$$

commutes.

If the image of $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ lies in $\mathcal{U}$, we say that the universal group has been reduced to $\mathcal{U}$ for π . The group $\mathcal{U}$ can then be used to study extra structures on the Seifert fiber space $\theta(\pi) \backslash (G \times W)$.

We have already seen in section 4.4 that if W is a smooth manifold, Γ special and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Diff}(W)$, then any injective Seifert Construction can be done smoothly in

$$\text{Diff}_G(G \times W) = \mathcal{C}(W, G) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Diff}(W)),$$

where $\text{Diff}_G(G \times W) = \text{TOP}_G(G \times W) \cap \text{Diff}(G \times W)$.

Furthermore, existence, uniqueness and rigidity also hold because we may use a differential partition of unity in the proof for the vanishing of the necessary cohomology groups.

To obtain existence of an injective Seifert Construction in $\mathcal{U}$, one has to verify the conditions in Theorem 3.7.2 in $\mathcal{U}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is much smaller than $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$, uniqueness and rigidity are not likely to hold. The set of all homomorphisms of π into $\mathcal{U}$ which belong to the same conjugacy class in $\text{TOP}_G(G \times W)$ is a deformation space of that conjugacy class. We shall illustrate these concepts by describing in some detail the deformation spaces for interesting geometric situations.

6.2. Injective Holomorphic Seifert Fiberings. We assume that $G = \mathbb{C}^k$, W is a complex manifold, $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{TOP}(W)$ has image in the holomorphic homeomorphisms of W , and $\mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^k) \subset \text{M}(W, \mathbb{C}^k)$ are the holomorphic maps.

We also assume that the map $\mathbb{C}^k \times W \rightarrow W$ is holomorphically trivial and so $\mathcal{U} = \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{C}^k}(\mathbb{C}^k \times W)$ then becomes

$$\mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^k) \rtimes (\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}^k) \times \text{Hol}(W)),$$

where $\text{Hol}(W)$ is the group of holomorphic automorphisms of W . Existence, uniqueness and rigidity do not necessarily hold because we do not have holomorphic partitions of unity and the groups $H^i(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^k))$ does not vanish in general.

The reader is referred to [12] where a general and comprehensive theory of holomorphic Seifert fiberings whose universal space is a holomorphic fiber bundle over W with fiber a complex torus or $\mathbb{C}^k$ is given. We shall restrict ourselves here to a special case closely related to the classical Seifert 3-manifolds.

Let $(\mathbb{C}^*, M)$ be an injective, proper, holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on a complex 2-manifold M so that the quotient space is compact. As in the case of an injective S^1 action, an injective proper $\mathbb{C}^*$ action lifts to the covering space of M corresponding to the image of the evaluation homomorphism, and yields a splitting $(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^* \times W)$ where W is a simply connected complex 1-manifold, (cf. section 2.2). Therefore, W is $\mathbb{C}$, D the open unit disk, or $\mathbb{CP}_1$. We shall restrict ourselves to W being the unit disk D . The orbit space $Q \backslash W$ is a closed Riemann surface. The action of $Q = \pi_1(M)/\mathbb{Z}$ on D is holomorphic, properly discontinuous, but not necessarily free. Therefore, $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \backslash M = Q \backslash W$ is a generalization of a principal holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over a Riemann surface.

From the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = M(W, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta^{i-1}} H^i(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^i(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^*)) \xrightarrow{\delta^i} \dots$$

The group Q acts on the unit disk D as a cocompact Fuchsian group. That is, $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Hol}(D)$, the complex automorphisms of the unit disk. The action of Q on $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$${}^\alpha \lambda = \lambda \circ (\rho(\alpha))^{-1}.$$

Let us compare the smooth situation with the holomorphic one. We have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C})) & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^*)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^2(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C})) \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathcal{C}(W, \mathbb{C})) & \rightarrow & H^1(Q, \mathcal{C}(W, \mathbb{C}^*)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^2(Q; \mathcal{C}(W, \mathbb{C})) \end{array} \quad (15)$$

For the smooth case, $H^i(Q; \mathcal{C}(W, \mathbb{C})) = 0$, $i > 0$, and as we shall see, $H^2(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C})) = 0$.

For each central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ represented by $[f] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$, we have smooth Seifert Constructions $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times D) = \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. If we fix $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Diff}(D)$, the construction is unique up to strict equivalences (subsection 4.4.2). We have the smooth Seifert orbifold over $Q \setminus W = Q \setminus D$ with an induced $\mathbb{C}^*$ action and therefore an S^1 action on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{C} \times D)$ ($\cong \mathbb{R}^1 \times \theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{R}^1 \times D) = \mathbb{R}^1 \times N^3$ since $\mathbb{C}^*$ splits smoothly as $\mathbb{R}^1 \times S^1$). The uniqueness says that for any other embedding $\theta' : \pi \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times D)$, keeping i and ρ fixed, the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\theta'(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{C} \times D)$ is strictly smoothly equivalent to that on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{C} \times D)$.

For the same π , we have the homomorphism $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}))$. The second group fortunately can be identified with the second cohomology of the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions over $Q \setminus D$. This vanishes since $Q \setminus D$ is (complex) 1-dimensional and the sheaf is coherent (i.e., locally free). This means that $[f] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ maps to $0 \in H^2(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}))$. But as the groups are abelian, this becomes exactly the identity (11), and we have $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times W)$. Therefore, each $[f]$ has holomorphic realizations for each fixed i and ρ . Recall from Theorem 3.7.2, the set of all $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times D)$ with fixed $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D)$, up to conjugation by elements of $\mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C})$, is in one-one correspondence with $H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}))$. (This complex vector space is the same as $H^1(V; h_{\mathbb{C}}^0)$, the first cohomology of the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions where V is treated as the analytic space $V = Q \setminus D$. That is, for each open U in V , we consider $p^{-1}(U)$ and holomorphic functions $\lambda : p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(\rho(\alpha)(w)) = \lambda(w)$, $w \in p^{-1}(U)$ and $p : D \rightarrow Q \setminus D$ is the projection. This defines the sheaf $h_{\mathbb{C}}^0$ over V). This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^g$, where g is the genus of V).

Theorem 6.2.1 ([12, §13]). *For each smooth action $(\mathbb{C}^*, M)$ corresponding to the unique strict conjugacy class $\theta(\pi)$, with π torsion free, there exists a complex g -dimensional family of strictly holomorphically inequivalent $\mathbb{C}^*$ actions each strictly smoothly equivalent to the smooth $(\mathbb{C}^*, M)$.*

Proof. We may interpret $[f'] \in H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(W, \mathbb{C}^*))$ to represent the effective holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\theta(\pi) \backslash (\mathbb{C} \times D)$ up to strict $\mathbb{C}^*$ equivalence. If Q were torsion free, then Q acts freely and $Q \setminus D = V$ is a closed oriented surface without branch points. The $\mathbb{C}^*$ action is then free and proper yielding a principal holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ bundle, corresponding to a complex line bundle over V . Since Q is not assumed to be torsion free, f' determines the holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ action (cf, [12, §5]). Given two injective $\mathbb{C}^*$ actions $[f']$ and $[f'']$ with the same “Chern class” $\delta[f'] = \delta[f'']$, there exists a $[\lambda] \in H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}))$ such that $[f''] = [f'] + [\lambda]$. Since $H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}))$ is a vector space of complex dimension g , there exists a whole g -dimensional family of inequivalent holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ actions starting from $[f']$ and ending with $[f'']$. $\square$

Returning to diagram 15, define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}(Q \setminus D) &= H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C})) / \text{image}(H^1(Q; \mathbb{Z})) \\ &= \text{a complex } g\text{-torus, or real } 2g\text{-torus, } T^{2g}. \end{aligned}$$

The connected component of the group $H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}^*))$, in the Q torsion free case, is called the *Picard group* for the line bundles over $Q \setminus D$. In our case, $H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}^*))$ is isomorphic to $T^{2g} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{finite torsion}$.

We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Q \setminus D) \longrightarrow H^1(Q; \mathcal{H}(D, \mathbb{C}^*)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the middle group is the isomorphism classes of injective holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ actions over $Q \setminus D$, δ sends such an isomorphism class to its “Chern class” and $\text{Pic}(Q \setminus D)$ represents the deformations. As before, $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Torsion}$.

In the discussion above, we have fixed $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Hol}(D)$. If we vary these choices, we don’t get anything new in the smooth case because of smooth rigidity. That is, $\theta(\pi)$ is conjugate to $\theta'(\pi)$ in $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \times D)$ where conjugation is taken in the whole group and not just in $\mathcal{C}(D, \mathbb{C})$ as for strict equivalence. However, in the holomorphic case, a change in $\rho : Q \rightarrow \text{Hol}(D)$ induces a much larger deformation space than treated above. We can see this in our next example of reduction of the universal group where instead of considering complex structures and complex actions, we replace them by essentially equivalent Riemannian metric structures and metric preserving S^1 -actions on N^3 , ($M = N \times \mathbb{R}^1$).

6.3. $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -geometry.

6.3.1. When W is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ in a Seifert Construction and $\rho(Q)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, acting properly on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then the group $\rho(Q)$ can be conjugated in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to a group which acts as isometries on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the usual Euclidean metric (e.g., $\rho(Q)$ is crystallographic) or as isometries on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the usual hyperbolic metric. In the former case, we say $\rho(Q)$ is isomorphic to a (Euclidean) crystallographic group, and in the latter, $\rho(Q)$ is isomorphic to a hyperbolic group. We write $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the usual Euclidean metric (resp. hyperbolic metric) as $\mathbb{E}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}$). If $Q \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact, then any two embeddings of Q are conjugate in $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. However, if $\rho_1, \rho_2 : Q \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H})$ have compact quotients, then ρ_1 is conjugated in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H})$ to ρ_2 if and only if $\rho_2(Q)$ lies in the normalizer of $\rho_1(Q)$ in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H})$, (The normalizer is a finite extension of $\rho_1(Q)$). Thus, if we reduce the universal group $\text{TOP}_G(G \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\mathcal{U}$, where at least $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is replaced by $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{E}^2)$ or $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H})$, we would expect to find a rich deformation theory for Seifert Constructions modelled on $G \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We will take $G = \mathbb{R}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}) \subset \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

For each central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ with Q cocompact hyperbolic, the group π can be embedded by $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$ so that it is topologically and/or smoothly rigid. If π is torsion free, $\pi \backslash (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$ is a classical closed Seifert 3-manifold N^3 and with a unique S^1 action up to equivalence. The S^1 -orbit space or base space is a 2-dimensional orbifold isomorphic to $Q \backslash \mathbb{H}$. The product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$ carries several geometries so that the Riemannian metric induced on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the hyperbolic metric. We will examine first the Riemannian metric on $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and then later an $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant Lorentz metric on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$.

Let us denote $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by $\tilde{P}$. The space $\tilde{P}$ is the universal covering group of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Topologically $\tilde{P}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ \tilde{P} &= \widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ &\approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we use

$$\mathrm{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}) = \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{TOP}(\mathbb{H}))$$

as our universal group, we will not be able to distinguish the geometries of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and Nil from that of $\tilde{P}$.

The Lie group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ can be viewed as the unit tangent bundle of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}$, and it has a natural Riemannian metric. This metric pulls back to a Riemannian metric on $\tilde{P}$. It turns out that this metric is right invariant. That is, all right translations by elements of the group are isometries. Furthermore, the isometry group is

$$\mathrm{Isom}(\tilde{P}) = (\mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where $\mathbb{R}$ is a subgroup of $\tilde{P}$ containing the center $\mathbb{Z}$, acting as left translations. These two actions commute with each other, and $\hat{\ell}(z) = \hat{r}(z^{-1})$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, the center of $\tilde{P}$. The finite group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by the reflection about the y -axis. In fact, any orientation-reversing isometry of period 2 will do. While it reverses the orientation of the base space $\mathbb{H}$, it also reverses the orientation of the fiber $\mathbb{R}$. Consequently, it preserves the orientation of $\tilde{P}$.

We take the subgroup $\mathbb{R}$ described above as our G . Smoothly, $\tilde{P} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$. Therefore, we have

$$G = \mathbb{R}, \quad W = \mathbb{H}.$$

Since $\mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P}$ commutes with the left translation $G = \mathbb{R}$, and the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is an inversion of $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{Isom}(\tilde{P}) = (\mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ lies inside $\mathrm{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$. To make the presentation clearer, we use only the connected component of $\mathrm{Isom}(\tilde{P})$. So, let's take

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}) = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P}$$

so that we have the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P} & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{TOP}(\mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

For this case, Q coincides with the Q examined in section 6.2, and has a well known presentation

$$Q = \langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_g, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_g, \bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_p \mid \bar{w}_j^{\alpha_j} = 1, \prod_{j=1}^p \bar{w}_j \prod_{i=1}^g [\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i] = 1 \rangle$$

for $p \geq 0$, $g \geq 0$ and all $\alpha_j \geq 2$. It is also required that the Euler characteristic of Q , defined as

$$\chi(Q) = (2 - 2g) - \sum_{j=1}^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_j}\right),$$

satisfies $\chi(Q) < 0$. It is our intention to characterize those $[\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ which embed in $\mathcal{U}$ and to determine their deformation spaces.

6.3.2. For any subgroup Q of $\text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H})$, one can pullback (see subsection 3.3.2) the above extension via $Q \hookrightarrow \text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H})$ to get $\tilde{Q}$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Q} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cap & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus, $\mathbb{R}$ becomes a trivial Q -module.

Lemma 6.3.3. *Let Q be a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H})$. Then*

- (1) $H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$,
- (2) *The class $[\tilde{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$ is non-zero. (That is, the exact sequence does not split).*

Proof. (1) Let $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{P} \rightarrow P \rightarrow 1$ be the universal covering projection, and let $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ be the pullback of this exact sequence via $Q \hookrightarrow P$. Then $\mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{Q}$ sits in $\mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P} = \text{Isom}_0 \tilde{P}$ as the center. Denote the inclusion of $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ by i . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \hat{Q} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 & [\hat{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & & \downarrow i_* \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Q} & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 & [\tilde{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

is commutative so that $i_*[\hat{Q}] = [\tilde{Q}]$. By Selberg's lemma, Q contains a torsion free normal subgroup Q_0 of finite index. Then $H^2(Q_0; \mathbb{R}) = H^2(Q_0 \backslash \mathbb{H}; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Then, by transfer, $H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$, since Q/Q_0 acts on $Q_0 \backslash \mathbb{H}$ preserving orientation. Since $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated, it follows that $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Torsion}$ by the Universal Coefficient Theorem, and the fact that $i_* : H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$ is given by $\otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus the elements of infinite order inject and those of finite order are in the kernel.

(2) We can assume, without loss of generality, $Q \subset P$ is torsion free. In [54], it is shown that $[\hat{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero. In fact, $\hat{Q}$ is the fundamental group of the unit tangent bundle $\hat{Q} \backslash \tilde{P}$ of the surface $Q \backslash \mathbb{H}$. In this case, the euler characteristic of Q , $2 - 2g \neq 0$, is the characteristic class of the principal S^1 -bundle $\hat{Q} \backslash \tilde{P} \rightarrow Q \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and is also equal to the negative of the cohomology class $[\hat{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ of the extension $\hat{Q}$. Therefore, $[\tilde{Q}]$ is non-zero in $H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) = H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We also point out, in this case, $[\hat{Q}] = e(\hat{Q})$, see subsection 6.3.4 for definition of $e(\hat{Q})$. $\square$

6.3.4 (euler number). Let $i : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard inclusion. For each central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, there is associated a rational invariant called the *euler number* of π , and is denoted by $e(\pi)$. It can be defined in terms of a presentation of π . Let

$$\pi = \langle \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_g, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_g, \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_p, \tilde{z} \mid \tilde{z} \text{ central}, \tilde{w}_j^{\alpha_j} = \tilde{z}^{-\beta_j}, \prod_{j=1}^p \tilde{w}_j \prod_{i=1}^g [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i] = \tilde{z}^b \rangle.$$

Then $e(\pi) = -\left(b + \sum \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j}\right)$ and $|e(\pi)|$ is an invariant of the isomorphism class of π . In [30, Theorem 4.5], it is shown that under the homomorphism

$$i_* : H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$$

induced by i , we have $i_*[\pi] = L \cdot e(\pi)$, where $L = \text{lcm}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p]$. Thus $[\pi]$ has infinite order in $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $e(\pi) \neq 0$.

We now characterize the cocompact orbifold groups modelled on $\tilde{P}$.

Theorem 6.3.5. *An abstract group π can be embedded into $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ as a cocompact discrete subgroup if and only if π is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by a discrete cocompact orientation-preserving hyperbolic group Q (so that $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ is exact) and $[\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order. Further, if this is the case, the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$ is the center of π , and in any discrete embedding, the image of $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\pi \cap \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{P} = \text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$.*

Proof. Suppose π is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$. The subgroup $\mathbb{R}$ of $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ is the radical (maximal connected normal solvable subgroup) of $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ and the quotient $\text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H}) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ has no compact factor. A theorem of Wang (see [50, 8.27]) says that the image Q of π in $\text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H})$ is a lattice so that Q is a discrete cocompact orientation-preserving hyperbolic group. It remains to show that $\pi \cap \mathbb{R}$ is non-trivial. Suppose not. Then π is isomorphic to Q and hence, it has $\mathbb{R}$ -cohomological dimension 2. However, since π is cocompact in $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$, its $\mathbb{R}$ -cohomological dimension is 3. This contradiction shows that $\pi \cap \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Z}$. Thus π is of the form $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}$ is the center of π since Q is centerless.

We shall now sketch why $[\pi]$ must be of infinite order in $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$. By Selberg's Lemma, there exists a normal subgroup Q_0 of Q which is torsion free of finite index. Let $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_0 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow 1$ be the pullback of the above exact sequence via $Q_0 \hookrightarrow Q$. But if $[\pi]$ has finite order, one can take Q_0 so that $[\pi_0]$ has order 0 so that $\pi_0 = \mathbb{Z} \times Q_0$. We claim that this group does not embed discretely into $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$. Choose a standard presentation for Q_0 :

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle.$$

Since $Q_0 \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we may think of the a_i, b_i as elements of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$, these elements lift to $\{(a'_i, t_{a_i})\}, \{(b'_i, t_{b_i})\}$, where $a'_i, b'_i \in \tilde{P}$; $t_{a_i}, t_{b_i} \in \mathbb{R}$. These are unique up to the center of $\tilde{P}$. Since $\prod_{i=1}^g [(a'_i, t_{a_i}), (b'_i, t_{b_i})] = (t^{2g-2}, 0)$ by [54], it is non-zero. Since $\pi_0 = \mathbb{Z} \times Q_0 \subset \text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$, this relation $2g - 2$ would have to be 0. This gives a contradiction and so $[\pi]$ must have infinite order.

Conversely, suppose $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ is exact, where Q is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\text{Isom}_0(\mathbb{H}) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$; and $[\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order. Then with the natural inclusion $i : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the induced homomorphism $i_* : H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$, $i_*[\pi]$ is the pushout $[\mathbb{R}\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$ (see subsection 3.3.1), and is non-zero. By Lemma 6.3.3, $[\tilde{Q}] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$ is also non-zero. Therefore, there exists $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $(\epsilon \circ i)_*[\pi] = \epsilon_*[\mathbb{R}\pi] = [\tilde{Q}]$. This implies that there exists

a homomorphism of π into $\tilde{Q}$ with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Q} & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

commutative and with injective vertical maps. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ and Q acts properly discontinuously with compact quotient on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ respectively, π is cocompact and discrete. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 6.3.6. *Let $\rho : Q \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a discrete cocompact subgroup. For an extension $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, there exists an injective homomorphism $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ so that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \rho \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

commutes if and only if $[\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order.

Corollary 6.3.7. (Structure) *Let M be a closed orbifold modelled on $(\mathrm{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}), \tilde{P})$ -geometry. Then M is an orientable closed Seifert orbifold over a hyperbolic base with $e(M) \neq 0$.*

(Realization) *Let M be a compact orientable Seifert orbifold over a hyperbolic base. Then M admits an $(\mathrm{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}), \tilde{P})$ -geometry if and only if $e(M) \neq 0$.*

6.3.8. Consider the commuting diagram (16). With fixed ϵ and ρ , how many θ 's are there to make the diagram commutative? Such maps are classified by $H^1(Q; \mathbb{R})$, see Theorem 3.7.2. Since the action of Q on $\mathbb{R}$ is trivial,

$$H^1(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{2g},$$

where $2g$ is the first Betti number of the group Q . Compare this with

$$H^i(Q; M(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R})) = 0, \quad (i \geq 1)$$

so that, for any extension $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, a homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow \mathrm{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$ exists and is unique, up to conjugation by elements of $M(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R})$.

6.4. Lorentz Structures and $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ -Geometry. The spaces admitting $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R}}$ -geometry have another interesting geometric structure. Here is a more explicit description of our problem. Let us denote $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ by P_{∞} . The space P_{∞} is the universal covering group of $P_1 = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Topologically P_{∞} is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\
 P_{\infty} &= \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the *indefinite* metric of signature $++--$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$. The unit sphere of this space is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{1,2} &= \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |x|^2 - |y|^2 = 1\} \\
 &\approx \mathrm{O}(2, 2)/\mathrm{O}(1, 2).
 \end{aligned}$$

The linear map of $\mathbb{R}^4$ defined by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

transforms $S^{1,2}$ to

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= \{(x, y, z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid xu - yz = 1\} \\ &= \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus P_2 has a complete *Lorentz metric* of signature $+, -, -$ and *constant sectional curvature* $= 1$.

A space is called a *Lorentz orbifold* if it is the quotient of P_∞ by a discrete group of Lorentz isometries acting *properly discontinuously*. One can show that such a group contains normal subgroups of finite index which act freely. A Lorentz orbifold for which the discrete group acts freely is called a *Lorentz space-form*. The Lorentz structure on a space-form is non-singular and it has P_∞ as its (metric) universal covering. Then $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow P_\infty \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow 1$ is actually a central extension with $\mathbb{Z}$ being the entire center of P_∞ . It turns out that the identity component of $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ is $(P_\infty \times P_\infty)/\mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the diagonal central subgroup corresponding to the center of each of the P_∞ -factors. The action $P_\infty \times P_\infty$, as isometries, on P_∞ is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x \cdot \beta^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{Isom}_0(P_\infty) = P_\infty \times_{\mathbb{Z}} P_\infty$ has index 4 in $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$. These Lorentz space-forms are analogous to the complete spherical space-forms in the Riemannian case.

Let us describe some obvious ones which turn out to be *homogeneous* in the sense that $\mathrm{Isom}(M)$ acts *transitively* on M . Take $\pi \subset P_\infty \times e \subset P_\infty \times_{\mathbb{Z}} P_\infty$ as a discrete subgroup. Then surely the centralizer of π in $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$, $C_{\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)}(\pi)$, contains $e \times P_\infty$ in $(P_\infty \times_{\mathbb{Z}} P_\infty)$. In fact, it is exactly $e \times P_\infty$ (unless $\pi \approx \mathbb{Z}$ and sits in the center of $P_\infty \times e$ in $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$). Such groups π are classified in [54] and are certain Seifert manifolds over a hyperbolic base, for there is an obvious S^1 action on $\pi \backslash P_\infty$ induced from $e \times P_\infty \subset \mathrm{Isom}_0(P_\infty)$. A surprising fact is that *all homogeneous Lorentz orbifolds are actually homogeneous Lorentz space forms and coincide with those just described above*, [29, §10].

If $\pi \subset \mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ so that $M = \pi \backslash P_\infty$ is compact then it is shown in [29, §7] that *M is homeomorphic to an orientable Seifert orbifold over a hyperbolic base*. However, the connections between the Seifert structure and the Lorentz structure is unclear. This is due to the fact that $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ does not act properly on P_∞ . By selecting a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ which acts properly on P_∞ , these two disparate structures can be related.

The subgroup

$$J(P_\infty) = (P_\infty \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty),$$

where $P_\infty \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = (P_\infty \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the central diagonal subgroup of $P_\infty \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ reverses the orientation of time ($= \mathbb{R}$) and space ($= \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{P}_\infty/\mathbb{R}$) at the same time is the same Lie group as the $\mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ in the Riemannian case. A *Lorentz orbifold* (resp; *space-form*) $M = \pi \backslash P_\infty$, where $\pi \subset J(P_\infty) \subset \mathrm{Isom}(P_\infty)$ is called *standard*. Therefore the standard Lorentz orbifolds and space-forms coincide with the orbifolds and space-forms of the $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ -geometry. A Lorentz space-form is

homogeneous if the full group of Lorentz isometries acts transitively. It is known that homogeneous Lorentz space-forms M admit nonstandard complete Lorentz structures if $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$. See [17].

The *Seifert fibering*, in the theorem below, on M descends from the $\mathbb{R}$ -action by the second $\mathbb{R}$ -factor in $J(P_\infty)$ on P_∞ . The following are due to Kulkarni and Raymond and are stated here, for simplicity, in the *closed cases*.

Theorem 6.4.1 ([29, (8.5)]). (Structure) *A compact standard Lorentz space-form (resp. Lorentz orbifold) is an orientable Seifert manifold M (resp. Seifert orbifold) over a hyperbolic base B with $e(M) \neq 0$.*

(Realization). *Let M be a compact orientable Seifert manifold (resp. orientable Seifert orbifold) over a hyperbolic base with $e(M) \neq 0$. Then, M admits a structure of a standard Lorentz space-form (resp. Lorentz orbifold).*

We remark that the orbifold part breaks into two separate cases. If all fibers are $\approx S^1$, then *all* closed orientable Seifert manifolds with $e(M) \neq 0$ appear as Lorentz orbifolds (and conversely). This is similar to having the topological sphere appear as a 2-dimensional hyperbolic orbifold. If some fibers are arcs then the Lorentz orbifolds are homeomorphic to connected sums of lens spaces (including S^3 and $S^2 \times S^1$). We should also mention that the statements in Theorem 6.4.1 are for the full $J(P_\infty)$ and not the connected component of the identity, and correspond to the cocompact discrete subgroups of $\text{Isom}(\tilde{P})$ in the Riemannian case instead of $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$ as described in the preceding section. See [29, §8,9] for details and treatment of cases other than the compact ones.

6.5. Deformation Spaces for $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -geometry.

Definition 6.5.1. Let $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ be the space of all injective homomorphisms $\theta : \pi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that $\theta(\pi)$ is cocompact acting properly on P_∞ and, is discrete in $\mathcal{U}$. We topologize $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ as a subset of $\mathcal{U}^\pi$. In general, if $\mathcal{U}$ is a Lie group, then $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ will be a real analytic space.

The space $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ is called *the space of discrete representations of π into $\mathcal{U}$ or the Weil space of $(\pi; \mathcal{U})$* . When there is no confusion likely, we denote $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ simply by $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$.

Recall that μ denotes conjugation. The inner-automorphisms group $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U})$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ from the left by

$$\mu(u) \cdot \theta = \mu(u) \circ \theta$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\pi)$. Denote the orbit space of this action by

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi) = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}) \backslash \mathcal{R}(\pi).$$

It is called the *Teichmüller space* of π (or of M).

$\text{Aut}(\pi)$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ from the right by

$$\theta \cdot f = \theta \circ f$$

for $\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\pi)$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(\pi)$. Denote the orbit space of this action by

$$\mathcal{S}(\pi) = \mathcal{R}(\pi) / \text{Aut}(\pi).$$

$\mathcal{S}(\pi)$ is the *space of discrete subgroups of $\mathcal{U}$ each isomorphic to π* , or the *Chabauty space*.

Since the two actions of $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ commute with each other, $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ acts on $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$, and $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U})$ acts on $\mathcal{S}(\pi)$. $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ has an obvious kernel $\text{Inn}(\pi)$. Consequently, we get an action of $\text{Out}(\pi)$ on $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$. We denote the orbit space by

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi) = \mathcal{T}(\pi)/\text{Out}(\pi).$$

It is called the *moduli* (or *Riemann*) *space* of π . It is also obtained as the orbit space

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi) = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}) \backslash \mathcal{S}(\pi).$$

Summarizing in the form of a commutative diagram of orbit mappings, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{R}(\pi), \text{Aut}(\pi)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}) \backslash} & (\mathcal{T}(\pi), \text{Out}(\pi)) \\ \downarrow / \text{Aut}(\pi) & & \downarrow / \text{Out}(\pi) \\ (\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{S}(\pi)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Inn}(\mathcal{U}) \backslash} & \mathcal{M}(\pi) \end{array} \quad (17)$$

We shall now describe the deformation spaces for closed M which have a geometric structure modelled on $(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{P}) = (\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}), \tilde{P})$ (or equivalently the *standard* Lorentz structures). We shall see that these deformation spaces all have Seifert fiberings over well-studied deformation spaces of discrete cocompact orientation-preserving hyperbolic groups.

Let π be a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\mathcal{U} = \text{Isom}_0(P_\infty)$. We have the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1, \quad [\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$$

with $Q \subset P$, and having infinite order in $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$.

Since our group $\mathcal{U}$ embeds into $\text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$ we have *topological rigidity* in the *strong sense* that if θ_1 and θ_2 are two embeddings of cocompact π into $\text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$, then they are conjugate in $\text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H})$. In almost all cases they will not be conjugate in $\mathcal{U}$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$ then represent the different $\mathcal{U}$ -structures on M , and we may expect large deformation spaces.

In order to understand $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$, we need to study $\text{Aut}(\pi)$. We would like to describe $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ in terms of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is characteristic, any automorphism of π induces an automorphism of Q .

Definition 6.5.2. Let $\text{Aut}(Q(\pi))$ be the image of $\text{Aut}(\pi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q)$. That is,

$$\text{Aut}(Q(\pi)) = \{\bar{\theta} \in \text{Aut}(Q) : \exists \theta \in \text{Aut}(\pi) \text{ inducing } \bar{\theta}\},$$

the group of automorphisms of Q which can be lifted to an automorphism of π .

Because $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ has the unique isomorphism extension property, one can form a pushout (see subsection 3.3.1) to get $\mathbb{R}\pi$ fitting the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\pi & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.5.3. *There is a commutative diagram with exact rows and injective vertical maps:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(\pi) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi)) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(Q) & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array} \tag{18}$$

Proof. The crucial fact for the proof is $H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$. Since $[\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order, $[\mathbb{R}\pi] \in H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$ is non-zero. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is characteristic in $\mathbb{R}\pi$, any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ induces an automorphism $\bar{f} \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$. Suppose $\bar{f} = \mathrm{id}$. Let $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the restriction of f . Then $\hat{f}_*[\mathbb{R}\pi] = \bar{f}^*[\mathbb{R}\pi] = [\mathbb{R}\pi]$ since $\bar{f} = \mathrm{id}$. Since $[\mathbb{R}\pi]$ is non-zero, $\hat{f} = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore, f is of the form

$$f(\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha) \cdot \alpha$$

for some map $\lambda : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. One easily sees that λ factors through Q and it satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\lambda(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}) = \lambda(\bar{\alpha}) + \bar{\alpha}\lambda(\bar{\beta})$$

for all $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \in Q$ so that $\lambda \in Z^1(Q, \mathbb{R})$. However, since $\mathbb{R}$ is central in $\mathbb{R}\pi$, $\mathbb{R}$ is a trivial Q -module so that $Z^1(Q, \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R})$. Conversely, any such a $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ yields an automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\pi) = \mathrm{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$. We would like to find $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ which induces $\bar{g}$ on Q . The automorphism $\bar{g}$ induces an automorphism $\bar{g}^* : H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{R})$. Since $H^2(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ and $[\mathbb{R}\pi] \neq 0$, there is a real number ϵ for which $\bar{g}^*[\mathbb{R}\pi] = \epsilon[\mathbb{R}\pi]$. This number ϵ is non-zero and can be viewed as an automorphism of $\mathbb{R}\pi$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{g} & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\pi & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus we have shown that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ is surjective. $\square$

The deformation spaces of π will be studied via those of Q . To this end, it is necessary to define the following:

Definition 6.5.4. Let $\mathcal{R}(Q; \bar{U})$ be the space of all injective homomorphisms $\bar{\theta}(Q)$ such that $\bar{\theta}(Q)$ is cocompact and discrete in $\bar{U}$.

There is a left action of $\mathrm{Inn}(\bar{U})$ on $\mathcal{R}(Q; \bar{U})$, and also a right action of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi))$ on $\mathcal{R}(Q; \bar{U})$. We the define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}(Q; \bar{U}) &= \mathrm{Inn}(\bar{U}) \backslash \mathcal{R}(Q; \bar{U}) \\
 \mathcal{S}(Q(\pi); \bar{U}) &= \mathcal{R}(Q; \bar{U}) / \mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi)) \\
 \mathcal{M}(Q(\pi); \bar{U}) &= \mathcal{T}(Q; \bar{U}) / \mathrm{Out}(Q(\pi)).
 \end{aligned}$$

These are the *Teichmüller space*, the *restricted Chabauty space*, and the *restricted moduli space* of Q , respectively.

It is known that $\mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi))$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ of finite index, see [28, Appendix]. This implies that $\mathcal{S}(Q(\pi)) = \mathcal{R}(Q) / \mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi))$ is a finite regular covering of $\mathcal{S}(Q)$. Also $\mathcal{M}(Q(\pi)) = \mathcal{T}(Q) / \mathrm{Out}(Q(\pi))$, where $\mathrm{Out}(Q(\pi)) = \mathrm{Aut}(Q(\pi)) / \mathrm{Inn}(Q)$.

Lemma 6.5.5. *The action of $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ on $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ extends to an action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ on $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$. The subgroup $Z^1(Q; \mathbb{R}) = H^1(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ freely and properly. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})/\text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{R}(Q; \overline{\mathcal{U}})$.*

Proof. Clearly, an element $\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ determines a homomorphism $\tilde{\theta} : \mathbb{R}\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ uniquely. The action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ on $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ is defined as follows: For $\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$,

$$\theta \cdot f = \tilde{\theta} \circ f|_{\pi}.$$

The image of π under $\tilde{\theta} \circ f$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\mathcal{U}$ so that $\tilde{\theta} \circ f|_{\pi} \in \mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$.

Suppose $\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ induce the same representation $\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}' \in \mathcal{R}(Q; \overline{\mathcal{U}})$. Let $\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}' : \mathbb{R}\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the homomorphisms induced from θ, θ' as described above. Since $\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}'$, the embeddings $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}'$ are related by $\tilde{\theta}'(\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha)\tilde{\theta}(\alpha)$ for some map $\lambda : \mathbb{R}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Since $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}'$ must be equal on $\mathbb{R}$, the map λ factors through Q , and hence

$$\lambda : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The map λ satisfies the cocycle condition so that $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R})$. Conversely, let $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ inducing the identity on Q . Then by reversing the order of arguments given above, one sees that θ and $\theta \circ f$ represent the same element of $\mathcal{R}(Q; \overline{\mathcal{U}})$.

Clearly, unless f is the identity, θ and $\theta \circ f$ will be different, which shows that the action of $\text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{R}(\pi; \mathcal{U})$ is free and proper since the orbit space is Hausdorff. $\square$

Theorem 6.5.6 ([28, Theorem 2.5]). *Let π be a compact orbifold group with $(\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P}), \tilde{P})$ -geometry. Let g be the genus of the base orbifold. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\pi) &= \mathcal{R}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g} && \text{trivial principal } \mathbb{R}^{2g}\text{-bundle over } \mathcal{R}(Q) \\ \mathcal{T}(\pi) &= \mathcal{T}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g} && \text{trivial principal } \mathbb{R}^{2g}\text{-bundle over } \mathcal{T}(Q) \\ \mathcal{S}(\pi) &= T^{2g}\text{-bundle over } \mathcal{S}(Q(\pi)) \\ \mathcal{M}(\pi) &= \text{Seifert fiber space over } \mathcal{M}(Q(\pi)) \text{ with typical fiber } T^{2g}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}(Q(\pi))$ is a finite sheeted covering of $\mathcal{S}(Q)$, and $\mathcal{M}(Q(\pi))$ is a finite sheeted branched covering of $\mathcal{M}(Q)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the center of π . Then $Q = \pi/\mathbb{Z}$ is the base orbifold group. Hence in Lemma 6.5.3, $\text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{R}) = H^1(Q; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$, and

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}\pi) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(Q) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. By Lemma 6.5.5, the group $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ freely and properly so that the orbit map becomes a principal bundle

$$\mathbb{R}^{2g} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Q).$$

Since $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ is contractible, its classifying space is a point and consequently $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ splits as $(\mathcal{R}(\pi), \mathbb{R}^{2g}) = (\mathcal{R}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g}, \mathbb{R}^{2g})$ equivariantly, where $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ acts on the second factor as translations.

Since $\mathbb{R}$ is the center of $\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})$, we have $\mu(\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})) = \mu(P)$ and

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi) = \mu(\text{Isom}_0(\tilde{P})) \backslash \mathcal{R}(\pi) = \mu(P) \backslash (\mathcal{R}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g}).$$

Now $\mu(P)$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ freely and properly with quotient $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ [43] which has the homotopy type of the set of two points. Therefore $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ is a product $\mathcal{T}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. Moreover, it is known that $\mathcal{T}(Q)$ is diffeomorphic to two copies of $\mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2p}$, where

p is the number of non-free orbit types of the Q action on $\mathbb{H}$. (This is the number of distinct conjugacy classes of maximal finite subgroups of Q).

For the space of subgroups

$$\mathcal{S}(\pi) = \mathcal{R}(\pi)/\text{Aut}(\pi) \cong (\mathcal{R}(Q) \times \mathbb{R}^{2g})/\text{Aut}(\pi),$$

note that $\text{Aut}(\pi) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2g} = \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ and the quotient $\text{Aut}(\pi)/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, which we called $\text{Aut}(Q(\pi))$, is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. By first dividing out by $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, we get $\mathcal{S}(\pi) = (\mathcal{R}(Q) \times T^{2g})/\text{Aut}(Q(\pi))$. Since $\text{Aut}(Q(\pi))$ acts on $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ freely, we have a genuine fibration

$$T^{2g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(Q(\pi)).$$

The action of $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2g} \times \mathcal{R}(Q) = \mathcal{R}(\pi)$ is weakly $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ -equivariant, because $\mathbb{Z}^{2g} = \text{Hom}(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ is normal in $\text{Aut}(\pi)$. In other words,

$$\text{Aut}(\pi) \hookrightarrow \text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}^{2g}}(\mathbb{R}^{2g} \times \mathcal{R}(Q)) = \text{M}(\mathcal{R}(Q), \mathbb{R}^{2g}) \rtimes (\text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{TOP}(\mathcal{R}(Q)))$$

so that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\pi) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(Q(\pi)) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{M}(\mathcal{R}(Q), \mathbb{R}^{2g}) & \longrightarrow & \text{TOP}_{\mathbb{R}^{2g}}(\mathbb{R}^{2g} \times \mathcal{R}(Q)) & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{TOP}(\mathcal{R}(Q)) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus, the structure group is a subgroup of the affine group of the torus $T^{2g} \circ \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{R}(\pi)$. Then for $\alpha \in \pi$, $\theta \cdot \mu(\alpha) = \mu(\theta(\alpha)) \circ \theta$. Therefore, on $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$, $\text{Inn}(\pi) = \text{Inn}(\mathbb{R}\pi) = Q$ acts trivially as does $\text{Inn}(Q) \cong Q$ on $\mathcal{T}(Q)$. Consequently, we have properly discontinuous actions of $\text{Out}(\mathbb{R}\pi)$ and $\text{Out}(Q(\pi))$ on $\mathcal{T}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(Q)$.

The space of moduli $\mathcal{M}(\pi) = \mathcal{T}(\pi)/\text{Out}(\pi)$ requires more care. Recall that $\mathcal{R}(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^{2g} \times \mathcal{T}(Q)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ action by translations on the first factor. Since

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g} \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\mathbb{R}\pi) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(Q) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact, we also have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{T}(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^{2g} \times \mathcal{T}(Q), \text{Out}(\mathbb{R}\pi)) & \xrightarrow{/\mathbb{R}^{2g}} & (\mathcal{T}(Q), \text{Out}(Q)) \\ \downarrow / \text{Out}(\pi) & & \downarrow / \text{Out}(Q(\pi)) \\ \mathcal{M}(\pi) = \mathcal{T}(\pi)/\text{Out}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{M}(Q(\pi)) \end{array} \quad (19)$$

The actions and maps arise from the embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\pi) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(Q(\pi)) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{2g} & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\mathbb{R}\pi) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(Q) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \quad (20)$$

obtained from Lemma 6.5.3 by dividing out the ineffective Q . Note $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{R}\pi) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2g} = 1$. Now as $\text{Out}(\pi)$ normalizes $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ sits in $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ as a lattice, the mapping q is a Seifert fibering with typical fiber the torus $\mathbb{R}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. In general, the fibering will not be locally trivial. In fact, if $F = (\text{Out}(Q(\pi)))_{[\bar{\theta}]}$ for some $[\bar{\theta}] \in \mathcal{T}(Q)$, then the induced extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

acts affinely on $\mathbb{R}^{2g} \times [\bar{\theta}]$ sitting over $[\bar{\theta}]$. The orbit over $[\bar{\theta}]$ under $\text{Out}(Q(\pi))$ determines a 2-dimensional hyperbolic orbifold up to isometry. Over this hyperbolic orbifold is the set $E \setminus \mathbb{R}^{2g} = F \setminus T^{2g}$ of metric Seifert orbifolds in $\mathcal{M}(\pi)$ with base this hyperbolic orbifold. $\square$

6.6. Polynomial structure on virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ manifolds. We have seen in Theorem 5.2.3 that any torsion-free virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ group π is the fundamental group of a closed $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold. For example, see (4.1). On the other hand, Milnor [45] has shown that such a group π can be the fundamental group of a complete affinely flat (not necessarily compact) manifold. The question arises as to whether one can find a *compact* complete affinely flat manifold with such fundamental group. It is true when π is 3-step nilpotent [56].

However, counter-examples produced by Benoist [4] and Burde and Grunewald [9] show that certain compact nilmanifolds do not admit a complete affinely flat structure. Consequently, the question has a negative answer even in the nilpotent case.

Note that one can look at such a complete affinely flat structure as a “polynomial structure of degree 1”. In a situation where “polynomial structures of degree 1” fails to exist, the next best structure will be “polynomial structure of higher degree”. The main reference for this section is [13].

A torsion-free filtration for a torsion-free finitely generated nilpotent group Γ is a central series of the form:

$$\Gamma_* : \Gamma_0 = 1 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_{c-1} \subset \Gamma_c \subset \Gamma_{c+1} = \Gamma$$

for which

$$\Gamma_{i+1}/\Gamma_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq c \text{ and some } k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Moreover, each Γ_i can be chosen to be a characteristic subgroup of Γ . We use K to denote the Hirsh number (or rank) of Γ . Often, we will also use $K_i = k_i + k_{i+1} + \cdots + k_c$. It follows that $K = K_1$.

Notation 6.6.1. (1) $P(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k) \subset M(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k)$ denotes the vector space of polynomial mappings $p : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. So p is given by k polynomials in K variables.
 (2) $P(\mathbb{R}^K) \subset \text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^K)$ will be used to indicate the set of all polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^K$, with an inverse which is also a polynomial mapping. This is a group where the multiplication is given by composition.

It is not hard to verify that $P(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k)$ is a $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^k) \times P(\mathbb{R}^K)$ -module and that the resulting semi-direct product group

$$P(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^k) \times P(\mathbb{R}^K)) \subseteq P(\mathbb{R}^{K+k})$$

by defining $\forall (p, g, h) \in P(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^k) \times P(\mathbb{R}^K)), \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{K+K} :$

$${}^{(p,g,h)}(x, y) = (gx - p(h(y)), h(y)).$$

Restrict $M(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k)$ to $P(\mathbb{R}^K, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\text{TOP}(\mathbb{R}^K)$ to $P(\mathbb{R}^K)$, and we will speak of canonical type polynomial representations.

From now on, if we speak of a polynomial representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^K)$ which is of canonical type, we mean of canonical type with respect to some torsion-free central series.

Theorem 6.6.2. (Existence) *Let Γ be a torsion-free, finitely generated nilpotent group of rank K . For any torsion-free central series Γ_* , there exists a canonical type polynomial representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^K)$.*

(Uniqueness) *If ρ_1, ρ_2 are two such polynomial representations of Γ into $P(\mathbb{R}^K)$ with respect to the same Γ_* , there exists a polynomial map $p \in P(\mathbb{R}^K)$ such that $\rho_2 =$*

$p^{-1} \circ \rho_1 \circ p$. Consequently, the manifolds $\rho_1(\Gamma) \backslash \mathbb{R}^K$ and $\rho_2(\Gamma) \backslash \mathbb{R}^K$ are “polynomially diffeomorphic”.

Proof. The fundamental fact that we shall use is the cohomology vanishing

$$H^i(\Gamma/\Gamma_1, P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})) = 0$$

for $i > 0$. See [13] for a proof.

(Existence) We will proceed by induction on the nilpotency class c of Γ . If Γ is abelian, then the existence is well known. Now, suppose that Γ is of class $c > 1$ and the existence is guaranteed for lower nilpotency classes. Using the induction hypotheses, the group Γ/Γ_1 can be furnished with a canonical type polynomial representation

$$\bar{\rho} : \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}).$$

We obtain an embedding $i : \Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k_1} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})$ if we define $i(z) : \mathbb{R}^{K_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_1} : x \mapsto z$. We are looking for a map ρ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \varphi \times \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1}) & \longrightarrow & P \rtimes (A \times P) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{k_1}) \times P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \cap & & \\ & & & & P(\mathbb{R}^K) & & \end{array}$$

where $P \rtimes (A \times P)$ denotes $P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1}) \rtimes (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{k_1}) \times P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}))$ and $\varphi : \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{k_1}) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ denotes the morphism induced by the extension $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \rightarrow 1$.

The existence of such a map ρ is now guaranteed by the surjectiveness of δ in the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow 0 = H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_1, P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})) &\longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_1, P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})/\mathbb{Z}^{k_1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_1, \mathbb{Z}^{k_1}) \longrightarrow H^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_1, P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})) = 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

(Uniqueness) Again we proceed by induction on the nilpotency class c of Γ . For $c = 1$ the result is again well known. Indeed, two canonical type representations of a virtually abelian group are even known to be affinely conjugated.

So we suppose that Γ is of class $c > 1$ and that the theorem holds for smaller nilpotency classes. The representations ρ_1, ρ_2 induce two canonical type polynomial representations

$$\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2 : \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{K_2}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, there exists a polynomial map $\bar{q} : \mathbb{R}^{K_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{K_2}$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}_2 = \bar{q}^{-1} \circ \bar{\rho}_1 \circ \bar{q}.$$

Lift this $\bar{q}$ to a polynomial map q of $\mathbb{R}^{K_1}$ as follows:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{K_2} : q : \mathbb{R}^{K_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{K_1} : (x, y) \mapsto q(x, y) = (x, \bar{q}(y)).$$

When restricted to Γ_1 , ρ_1 and ρ_2 are mapping elements onto pure translations of $\mathbb{R}^{k_1}$. We know that there exist an affine mapping $\tilde{A} : \mathbb{R}^{k_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_1}$ for which

$$\rho_2|_{\mathbb{R}^{k_1}} = \tilde{A}^{-1} \circ \rho_1|_{\mathbb{R}^{k_1}} \circ \tilde{A}.$$

Extend $\tilde{A}$ to an affine mapping of $\mathbb{R}^K$ by defining

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{K_2} : A : \mathbb{R}^{K_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{K_1} : (x, y) \mapsto A(x, y) = (\tilde{A}(x), y)$$

Let us denote $\psi = A^{-1} \circ q^{-1} \circ \rho_1 \circ q \circ A$. Then we see that ψ and ρ_2 are two canonical type polynomial representations of Γ , which coincide with each other on Γ_1 and which induce the same representation of Γ/Γ_1 . This means that ψ and ρ_2 can be seen as the result of a Seifert construction with respect to the same data (cf. the commutative diagram above).

Now we use the injectiveness of δ , which implies that ψ and ρ_2 are conjugated to each other by an element $r \in P(\mathbb{R}^{K_2}, \mathbb{R}^{k_1})$ (seen as an element of $P(\mathbb{R}^K)!$). So we may conclude that

$$\rho_2 = r^{-1} \circ \psi \circ r = r^{-1} \circ A^{-1} \circ q^{-1} \circ \rho_1 \circ q \circ A \circ r = p^{-1} \circ \rho_1 \circ p$$

if we take $p = q \circ A \circ r$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Auslander, *Bieberbach's theorem on space groups and discrete uniform subgroups of Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **71** (1960), no. (3), pp. 579–590.
- [2] ———, *On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, American J. Math. (1963), 145–150.
- [3] L. Auslander and F.E.A. Johnson, *On a conjecture of C.T.C. Wall*, J. London Math. Soc.(2) **14** (1976), 331–332.
- [4] Yves Benoist, *Une nilvariété non affine*, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris Série I **315** (1992), pp. 983–986.
- [5] A. Borel, et al., *Seminar on Transformation Groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 46, Princeton Univ. Press, 1960.
- [6] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1972.
- [7] W. Browder and W.C. Hsiang, *G-actions and the fundamental group*, Invent. Math **65** (1982), 411–424.
- [8] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 87, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1982.
- [9] Dietrich Burde and Fritz Grunewald, *Modules for certain Lie algebras of maximal class*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **99** (1995), 239–254.
- [10] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [11] P. E. Conner and Frank Raymond, *Actions of compact Lie groups on aspherical manifolds*, Topology of Manifolds, edited by J.C. Cantrell and C.H. Edwards, Markham, Chicago (1969), 227–264.
- [12] ———, *Holomorphic Seifert fiberings*, Proc. 2nd Conference on Transformations groups, Part II. **Springer Lecture Notes in Math.** **299** (1971), 124–204.
- [13] Karel Dekimpe, Paul Igodt, and Kyung Bai Lee, *Polynomial structures for nilpotent groups.*, Transactions A. M. S. (1996), 77–97.
- [14] H. Donnelly and R. Schultz, *Compact group actions and maps into aspherical manifolds*, Topology **21** (1982), 443–455.
- [15] G. Dula and D. Gottlieb, *Splitting off H-spaces and Conner-Raymond splitting theorem*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **37** (1990), no. 2, 321–334.
- [16] F.T. Farrell and W.C. Hsiang, *Topological characterization of flat and almost flat Riemannian manifolds $M^n (n \neq 3, 4)$* , Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 641–672.
- [17] W. Goldman, *Nonstandard Lorentz space forms*, J. Differential Geometry **21** (1985), 301–308.
- [18] D. Gottlieb, *Splitting off tori and the evaluation subgroup of the fundamental group*, Israel J. Math. (1989), 216–222.
- [19] D. Gottlieb, Kyung Bai Lee, and M. Özaydin, *Compact group actions and maps into $k(\pi, 1)$ -spaces*, Trans. A. M. S. (1985), 419–429.
- [20] H. Holmann, *Seifertsche Faseraume*, Math. Ann. **157** (1964), 138–166.
- [21] Paul Igodt, *Generalizing a realization result of B. Zimmermann and K.B. Lee to infranil-manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. (1984), 19–30.
- [22] S. Kerckhoff, *The Nielsen realization problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 235–265.

- [23] F.W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Flat manifolds with parallel torsion*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968), 385–389.
- [24] Eunsook Kang and Kyung Bai Lee, *Injective Operations of Homogeneous Spaces*, Michigan Math. J. 1997, to appear.
- [25] Yoshinobu Kamishima, Kyung Bai Lee, and Frank Raymond, *The Seifert construction and its applications to infra-nilmanifolds*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) (1983), no. 34, pp. 433–452.
- [26] Hyuk Kim, *Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Differential Geometry (1986), 373–394.
- [27] H.T. Ku and M.C. Ku, *Group actions on aspherical $A_k(N)$ -manifolds*, Trans. A. M. S. **278** (1983), 841–859.
- [28] R. Kulkarni, Kyung Bai Lee, and F. Raymond, *Deformation spaces for Seifert manifolds*, Geometry and Topology, University of Maryland 1983-1984 **1167** (1986), 180–216, Edited by J. Alexander and J. Harer.
- [29] R. Kulkarni and F. Raymond, *3-dimensional Lorentz space-forms and Seifert fiber spaces*, J. Diff. Geometry (1985), 231–268.
- [30] Jong Bum Lee, Kyung Bai Lee, and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds with fiber spherical space forms*, Trans. A. M. S. **348**(1996), 3763–3798.
- [31] Kyung Bai Lee, *Geometric realization of $\pi_0\epsilon(m)$* , Proc. A.M.S. **86** (1982), no. (2), pp. 353–357.
- [32] Kyung Bai Lee, *Geometric realization of $\pi_0\epsilon(m)$ II*, Proc. A.M.S. **87** (1982), 175–178.
- [33] Kyung Bai Lee, *Aspherical manifolds with virtually 3-step nilpotent fundamental group*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), pp. 1435–1453.
- [34] ———, *Infra-solvmanifolds of type (R)*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (**2**) (1995), no. 46, pp. 185–195.
- [35] Kyung Bai Lee and F. Raymond, *Topological, affine and isometric actions on flat Riemannian manifolds II*, Topology Appl. **13** (1982), 295–310.
- [36] ———, *Seifert manifolds with $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ -fiber*, Michigan Math. J **43** (1996), 437–464.
- [37] Kyung Bai Lee and F. A. Raymond, *Manifolds on which only tori can act*, Trans. A. M. S. (1987), 487–499.
- [38] Kyung Bai Lee and Frank Raymond, *Topological, affine and isometric actions on flat Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **16** (1981), pp. 255–269.
- [39] ———, *Geometric realization of group extensions by the Seifert construction*, Contemporary Math. A. M. S. **33** (1984), pp. 353–411.
- [40] ———, *Rigidity of almost crystallographic groups*, Contemporary Math. A. M. S. **44** (1985), pp. 73–78.
- [41] ———, *Seifert manifolds modelled on principal bundles*, Transf. Groups (Osaka,1987); Lect. Notes in Math. **1375** (1989), pp. 207–215.
- [42] Saunders Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, vol. 114, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1975.
- [43] A. M. Macbeath and D. Singleman, *Spaces of subgroups and Teichmüller spaces*, Proc London Math. Soc. (3) (1975), 211–256.
- [44] A. Mal'cev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Translations A.M.S. (1962), no. 1(9), 276–307.
- [45] John Milnor, *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds*, Adv. Math. **25** (1977), pp. 178–187.
- [46] A. Nicas and C Stark, *K-theory and surgery of codimension-two torus actions on aspherical manifolds*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **31** (1985), pp. 173–183.
- [47] Peter Orlik, *Seifert manifolds*, Lect. Notes in Math. 291, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [48] R. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math (1961), 295–323.
- [49] C. Y. Park, *Homotopy groups of automorphisms of some injective Seifert Fiber Spaces*, Thesis, University of Michigan, 1989.
- [50] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [51] F. Raymond, *The Nielsen theorem for Seifert fiber spaces over locally symmetric spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **16** (1979), 87–93.
- [52] F. Raymond and L. Scott, *The failure of Nielsen's theorem in higher dimensions*, Arch. Math. **29** (1977), 643–654.

- [53] F. Raymond and D. Wigner, *Construction of aspherical manifolds*, Geometric Appl. of homotopy theory **657** (1977), 408–422.
- [54] F. Raymond and A. Vasquez, *3-manifolds whose universal coverings are Lie groups*, Topology Appl. **12** (1981), 161–179.
- [55] H. Rees, *Special manifolds and Novikov's conjecture*, Topology **22** (1983), 365–378.
- [56] John Scheuneman, *Affine structures on three-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. A.M.S. **46** (1974), no. (3), pp. 451–454.
- [57] Peter Scott, *The geometries of 3-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15**(1983), 401–487.
- [58] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Compact group actions and the topology of manifolds with non-positive curvature*, Topology **18** (1979), 361–380.
- [59] H. Seifert, *Topologie drei-dimensionaler gefaseter Räume*, Acta. Math. **60** (1933), 147–238.
- [60] F. Waldhausen, *Gruppen mit Zentrum und drei-dimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Topology, **6** (1967), 505–517.
- [61] R. Washiyama and T. Watabe, *On the degree of symmetry of a certain manifold*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), 53–58.
- [62] H. Zieschang, *On toric fiberings over surfaces*, Math. Notes (1969), 341–345.
- [63] H. Zieschang and B. Zimmermann, *Endliche Gruppen von Abbildungsklassen gefaseter 3-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. (1979), 41–62.
- [64] Bruno Zimmermann, *Über Gruppen von Homöomorphismen Seifertscher Faserraume und flacher Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Manuscripta Math. (1980), no. 30, pp. 361–373.

Copywritten K.B.Lee and Frank Raymond 1999.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OK 73019, U.S.A., UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN
ARBOR, MI 48109, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `kblee@math.ou.edu`

E-mail address: `Frank.Raymond@umich.edu`